Avalon Point Planned Development master plan has been developed in consideration to topography, existing environmental conditions, surrounding community and aesthetics. Unless otherwise stated, the criteria that follows below will be the guiding principles for the City of Goose Creek planning and zoning staff to review and approve building and site plans.

The following guidelines include architectural standards for residential and commercial buildings, parking, landscape, tree mitigation, signage and amenities.

### Architectural Standards

**8.a. Single Family/Townhome Overview:** The following images below represent the intent and direction of the building materials for the single family detached and attached development.

- Horizontal Vinyl Siding and Trim Boards
- Shake and Board & Batten Details
- Louver, Solid and None Shutter Options
- Stone and Brick Wainscot Options
- Stone Base Columns Options
- Dark Architectural Roof Shingles









Example of Single Family homes and Townhomes

### 8.b Commercial Overview:

The following standards are established to guide appropriate building design for commercial properties within the Avalon Point Planned Development and, more specifically, to support the City of Goose Creek's Red Bank District. Material selections and design requirements have been implemented to ensure quality and durability. Land Uses for the commercial development are listed below.

- Arts/Crafts or dance studios/art galleries
- Microbrewery
- Retail, commercial, grocery, home furnishings, hardware (having less than 5,000 SF of floor area)
- Pet shop (retail sales only, no selling/boarding of animals)
- Radio/television studios
- Specialty shops, such as florist, gift shop, boutiques, coffee shop, bakery and the like
- Restaurants
- Vet Clinic/animal hospital without boarding
- Drive-thru Establishments permitted with City Zoning Administrator approval

The following uses shall be prohibited:

- Tobacco and Vape Shops
- Fast food restaurants with drive-thru facilities
- Non-depository personal credit institutions
- Vehicle sales, service, and/or repair including oil change shops
- Automatic and manual car washes
- Sexually Oriented Businesses
- Laundromats
- Auto Parts Stores
- Bail bonds
- Gas Stations

All other uses not identified within the land use listing above shall require City Zoning Administrator approval.



Activating outdoor space to support retail, food & beverage, and other commercial businesses like yoga studios is an important requirement of these standards. Certain physical characteristics of the parcels—such as frontage along Red Bank Road for Parcel B or the mowed green, under the power easement at the back of Parcel A lend themselves to pedestrian focused outdoor gathering areas. **Exhibit F** illustrates one way that activated outdoor spaces may be designed into the site and building plans.

Urban planners use the term "third spaces" to describe community gathering spaces that are not classified specifically as "work" or "residence". A "third space" can take many forms. Some common examples are a **coffee shop**, **a casual food and beverage establishment or a unique retail shop**, **like a bookstore**. These base use businesses become meeting areas for organized events and may combine multiple uses together, such as a bookstore with a coffee shop. Organized events such as a poetry reading, or musical performance may be scheduled to bring patrons together. Another example may be a small plant-focused landscape nursery (as





Kentucky Native, Lexington KY

opposed to bulk materials) that includes a café and beer garden such as Kentucky Native Café in Lexington, KY. For the Avalon Point example, the left end cap for Building A could be a garden shop with interior plants and an outdoor plant nursery in the power easement. The shop could double as a café and host how-to events with refreshments in the outdoor patio.

The goal of the developer is to attract at least one "third space" establishment within Avalon Point. To reinforce this desire, Avalon Point will create marketing materials to specifically highlight the opportunity to businesses that are catalysts for "third place" development. Prior to final approval of Avalon Point Boulevard, developer shall provide to the Zoning Administrator marketing materials that highlight the "third space" opportunity for Avalon Point.



Example of high-quality composite wood used as accent material for entry cover.

#### Commercial Design Guidelines:

These design guidelines provide a certain degree of flexibility to allow for creative building design and inclusion of artistic elements such as sculpture and murals.



Example of corrugated metal, wood, awnings and two-tone paint schemes to create simple, attractive facades

Applications for commercial development shall provide a description on how the commercial design and site plan will meet the building massing, design, materials and site features. The City of Goose Creek staff will be responsible for reviewing and approving plans based on the design standards that follow:

### OVERALL MASSING AND DESIGN

Buildings should be organized in a manner to engage the streetscape on Red Bank Road and Avalon Point Boulevard. The primary facades and pedestrian connections of the

buildings should be along these two roads with the corner closest to the main intersection being the most architecturally significant. Garage access shall not be allowed to front Red Bank Road.

It is desirable to orient parking to the side or behind the building to promote a pleasant pedestrian experience. However, given regulatory limitations dictating the existing ditch easement crossing on Parcel A, parking may need to be placed in the front of the building. In this situation, design plans should take measures to limit the pedestrian impact through the use of



Example of blending murals and signage in an artistic blend and COR-TEN steel entry vestibule

accentuated pedestrian crossings to Red Bank Road. Pedestrian connections to Red Bank Road are important to reinforce the City's plans to revitalize the Red Bank Road corridor.

Overall building design should be complimentary with the smaller commercial and residential scale along Red Bank Road. One-story buildings are permitted and the maximum building height is two stories or 35' to peak of building roof.

### **Building Materials**

•Siding: A wide variety of traditional building siding materials are acceptable along with a list of contingent materials that are newer and more modern.

- ♦ Acceptable Materials
  - Hardiplank
  - Wood
  - Brick, painted
  - Board and batten
  - Painted block
  - Or other similar materials that meet the intent of these design guidelines w/ approval from the City Zoning Administrator.

♦ Contingent Materials: Other high-quality materials, such as modern panel systems and composite wood, may be approved as long as samples and specification sheets are provided that the materials are of durable quality and used in moderation. These materials are ideal for architectural accents.

• Visible Roofing: The material standards below are only applicable for pitched roofs with visible roof lines. Flat roofs shall include parapet walls to shield views of membrane and bituminous roofing.

- Acceptable Materials
  - Architectural asphalt Shingles

Non-reflective Metal Roofing, including

double v crimp and standing seam

### **Building Design Characteristics**

 Windows and Fenestration: Buildings shall have a ratio of 40-50% window-to-wall ratio for the facades facing Avalon Point Boulevard and Red Bank Road. Windows should be appropriately spaced and organized along the main facades. Windows are not to be flush mounted with the exterior walls. Windows are to be located as far inward into the wall to maximize depth and shadow. Storefront entries are encouraged to indicate the commercial nature of the building



Example of unique outdoor lighting

and increase transparency and visibility.

- Foundation: Foundations should be at grade or near at grade to enable ADA accessibility without the need for extensive ramping. Site and building should be designed to eliminate the need for switchback ramps.
- Overhangs: Pitched roofing structures shall be designed to ensure overhangs of at least 12" to enhance architectural interest
- Porches and Awnings: Awnings or porches are required over building entrances. No fabric awnings allowed.
- Painting: Utilizing two-toned paint
- Murals: Murals are encouraged, especially on larger, visible, flat surfaces. Murals visible from Red Bank Road are of particular interest to reinforce the arts district.

### Site Design Features:

- Alternative parking: To complement the spirit of the neighborhood and arts district, provide bicycle and golf cart parking to encourage easy trips from Avalon Point and surrounding neighborhoods. One (1) bike space per 1,000 Square Feet shall be provided.
- Lighting: Outdoor festival lighting is encouraged to create attractive, lively, night time environments. Festoon lights, string lighting and unique outdoor hanging fixtures are examples of acceptable outdoor lighting.
- Community Seating areas: Community seating areas may be specific for patrons of a certain business, or they may be shared amongst multiple businesses. Exhibit F shows one scenario with outdoor seating along Red Bank Road for Building B and seating on the back side of Building A. Outdoor seating areas may be hardscaped or crushed stone patios and decks with tables and chairs and could be covered or uncovered. Additional elements like water features or fire pits are permitted.

### 8.c. Signage

Signage is necessary to enhance commercial viability and the Avalon Point PD encourages diversity of signage within the residential and commercial develop areas.

While more traditional residential and business signs are permitted, the Avalon Point PD shall permit signage solutions that incorporate three dimensional elements, murals or other artistic touches that signify the uniqueness of the Red Bank District. This will allow for a

Overall signage guidelines are as follows:

more organic evolution of signage.

1. No sign shall interfere with the motorists' vision, nor simulate traffic control or emergency vehicle lighting.

2. Hazard and directional Signs less than four square feet in area, consisting of arrows, name and colors of the business, graphics or such words as "Step", "Fire", "Escape", "Danger" or similar symbols, shall not be included in computing maximum allowable sign area.

3. Individual businesses shall not have individual freestanding signs. Only one (1) freestanding sign will be permitted along Red Bank Road. A secondary residential freestanding sign shall be permitted at Wisteria Road Entrance.

4. Only one (1) freestanding residential sign will be permitted along Red Bank Road and one (1) freestanding residential sign will be permitted along Wisteria Road.

- 5. Illumination
  - a. No sign lighting is allowed which switches on and off intermittently, changes intensity and/or color or otherwise creates an illusion of flashing or movement;
  - All bare light bulbs, except bulbs less than 15 watts, shall be directed toward the face of the sign;
  - c. Signs within 50 feet of a residential district shall be shielded from casting glare into the district; and



Example of string lighting in a community seating area



Example of Neighborhood entry signage



Unique Hanging Sign



Example of a third place and varied signage

- d. Signs within residential districts shall be shielded from casting illumination into residences within 100 feet
- e. Signs may only be illuminated in one of the following ways: halo lit, reverse halo lit, using up/down lighting, and marquee lighting.
- 6. Height, setback and location.
  - a. A projecting wall sign shall project no more than five feet from a building, have bottom ten feet (minimum) above grade and top 25 feet above grade or height of the building, whichever is lower and have no exposed guy wires;
  - b. Free-standing signs, awning signs, canopy signs, marquee signs and temporary signs shall have a minimum setback of ten feet from the street curb, and shall not be installed within, nor project into the vertical plane of, the street right-of-way;
  - c. Fascia signs may project no more than 18 inches from a wall, and extend no more than 18 inches above the parapet, eaves or building facade;
  - d. Roof mounted signs may project no more than ten feet above the highest point of the roof or parapet;
  - e. No sign shall be attached to or obstruct any fire escape or opening intended as a fire fighting point of ingress or egress, interfere with any opening required for legal ventilation or prevent free passage from one part of a roof to another;
  - f. Street furniture, such as benches, waste receptacles, fountains and the like shall not be used for advertising purposes; and
  - g. When a sign extends over sidewalks, walkways or other spaces accessible to pedestrians, the bottom of the sign structure shall be at least eight feet above the ground.

7. Construction of signs. All permanent signs shall conform to International Building Code and National Electrical Code requirements as to design, materials, support, installation, fixtures and wiring. Specifically, all permanent signs must be able to withstand a pressure of 24 pounds per square foot, which is equivalent to 110 mph wind. The Zoning Administrator may require certification by an engineer when he or she is uncertain that the proposed method of construction is adequate.

8. A sign permit is required through the City of Goose Creek prior to placing a sign within the City Limits. All signage plans must be submitted to the City of Goose Creek zoning and planning staff for review and approval in accordance with this section 8.c. prior to sign permit being issued.

9. The maximum area permissible for signs shall correlate to the base zoning district and applicable Red Bank Overlay District. To encourage creativity, there shall be no area limitations on application of painted wall signage and/or mural themed applications. Zoning Adminstrator and Staff shall review all requests for permits.

### 8.d Parking Requirements

Single Family Residential Parking:

• Two off-street spaces shall be provided per residential lot. The minimum driveway length for each residential unit shall be 20 ft measured from back of sidewalk to front of garage door, as to not impede pedestrian travel on proposed site sidewalks. Additional on-street parking will be provided as generally identified per the master plan.

### Townhome Residential Parking:

- Alley fed access to the garages at the Townhome development will be provided.
   Each Townhome unit will require two offstreet spaces. The minimum driveway length for each unit shall be 20 ft. measured from edge of alleyway to face of garage door.
- Guest/overflow parking will be provided as on-street parking to benefit homeowners.

### Commercial Parking Requirement

- 1. 1 space per 350 SF. Square footage excludes storage, hallways and stairwells/ elevators.
- 2. Shared parking for commercial uses is permitted. Required parking for commercial uses shall be located within the 3.45 acres of commercial zone of Avalon Point.
- 3. Parking Area and paving required for parking spaces.
  - a. Each automobile parking space shall measure nine feet by 18 feet minimum (162 square feet), excluding any aisle or maneuvering space. However, the paved length of a 90-degree parking stall may be reduced to 17 feet, provided that the curbing or anchored concrete wheel stops are furnished at





Images above are intended to be for illustrative purpose and are representational ONLY for proposed amenities

the edge of paving to allow the vehicle to overhang a landscaped area of at least five feet in width. Parking stall length shall not be reduced where the vehicle would overhang a sidewalk. Areas in public rights-of-way shall not be used in providing parking or maneuvering space.

- b. Parking plans shall be submitted in the form of a scale drawing of the proposed parking and loading spaces, with landscaped areas and demonstrated conformance with these regulations.
- c. Approved parking spaces shall be paved or pervious paving as design dictates. City of Goose Creek staff shall review and approve material selections for parking stalls and driveway aisles in accordance with section 8.d.

- 4. Parking requirements for the disabled person(s).
  - a. All governmental buildings, public buildings, commercial facilities and places for public uses, including churches and private clubs, with the exception of single-family and two-family residences, shall have parking spaces designated and signs posted in accordance with the following requirements.
    - The international symbol of access to the physically disabled person(s) shall be permanently displayed (marked) on the ground for parking spaces that are reserved for such use. In addition, a sign bearing the international symbol of access to the physically disabled person(s) shall be posted facing each reserved parking space for the disabled person(s). Examples of the signs included one foot by one and one half foot in dimension, with the international symbol and "reserved parking" clearly marked on the sign.
    - Standard ADA parking spaces and van accessible spaces shall be provided in accordance to current federal guidelines. (2010 ADA standards for Accessible Design)

### 8.e. Building Height

Section 3 of this document describes building height. Building height is measured from the average foundation grade to the top of the roof. Unoccupied portions of building, including decorative cupolas and/or fireplace chimneys may exceed this height, with prior approval from City of Goose Creek staff.

### 8.f. 30' Red Bank Road Activity Zone

To meet the desire intent of the Red Bank District, the Avalon Point development will enhance the existing frontage with landscape and hardscape. Upon submittal of commercial site plans, the City of Goose Creek staff will review and approve the activity zone which shall include enhanced sidewalk, lighting, low ornamental walls, landscaping, walkway and trail connections and may include public art, outdoor terraces, and other signage elements in accordance to Section 8.c.

### 8.g. Landscaping & Buffering Requirements

Landscaping and buffering shall generally be in accordance with the Avalon Point master plan. The following are guidelines for landscape and buffer submission to be reviewed and approved by the City of Goose Creek staff.

1. Landscape design shall be integrated with the overall project design concept. Proposed landscaping shall be evaluated in relation to the existing natural landscape and to existing and proposed landscaping, including the landscape elements existing on adjacent properties and street rights-of-way, and in relation to adjacent buildings, existing or proposed.

2. The existing natural landscape character shall be preserved whenever possible. Greatest diligence and care shall be exercised to retain and protect existing trees in the proposed landscape design.

3. All landscape areas shall provide an attractive transition to adjoining properties. The landscaping plans for the proposed development shall provide visually harmonious and compatible settings for structures on the development, with adjacent properties, and shall blend with the surrounding natural landscape.

4. Landscaping shall be generally planted between buildings and sidewalks and/or buildings and curbing, and between parking lots and driveways.

5. Location of trees shall be coordinated with the location of exterior lighting, security cameras and overhead power lines in order for trees to have room to mature and not conflict.

### **BUFFER**:

An existing buffer shall exist to serve as a perimeter buffer between differing land uses adjacent to the planned development and between commercial and residential uses within the planned development. Landscaping plans shall be submitted to the City of Goose Creek staff for review and approval.

- Avalon Point PD- Residential adjacent to Residential (generally not required between like uses )
  - Proposed 10' vegetated buffer.
  - The 10'wide buffer shall consist of at least four canopy trees, eight understory trees, and 30 shrubs per 100 linear feet. This plant material can be made up of new or existing plant material.
- Avalon Point PD Residential adjacent to Commercial
  - 20' vegetated buffer.
  - The 20' wide buffer shall consist of at least four canopy trees, eight understory trees, and 30 shrubs per 100 linear feet. This plant material can be made up of new or existing plant material.
  - Avalon Point PD Residential adjacent to the Kennedy Center
  - 35' vegetated buffer.
  - Where buffer is impacted by land disturbance, planting shall be provided. The 35' wide buffer shall consist of at least four canopy trees, eight understory trees, and 30 shrubs per 100 linear feet. This plant material can be made up of new or existing plant material.
- Avalon Point PD Commercial parcel adjacent to Residential property
  - 20' vegetated buffer.
  - The 20' wide buffer shall consist of at least four canopy trees, eight understory trees, and 30 shrubs per 100 linear feet. This plant material can be made up of new or existing plant material.
- Avalon Point PD Commercial adjacent to Mt. Carmel Church Property:
  - An existing 13.5' Sanitary Sewer Easement exists at this abutment. Easement will remain and no additional buffer is required.
- Red Bank Road Activity Zone 30'
- No required buffers between commercial land uses internal to Avalon Point PD
- No required buffers between single family attached or detached land uses internal to Avalon Point PD

### STREETSCAPE

Street trees shall be installed in a consistant rhythm and spacing generally illustrated in Exhibit E- Master Plan. All street trees and landscape tree caliper inches provided shall count towards mitigation inches. A minimum of 2.5" caliper canopy tree shall be required.

#### LANDSCAPE FOR PARKING LOTS

- To create shade over the majority of a paved surface, the majority of the trees shall be canopy trees. Tree canopies soften the visual impact of parking areas and relieve them from heat build-up.
- 2. To provide a canopy, a planted island or break at least five feet wide with at least one tree and/or shrubs, groundcover, ornamental grasses, or two trees shall be installed for every ten spaces of parking area. Shall be no more than 10 continuous parking spaces without a tree island.
- 3. Parking islands shall extend the full length of the adjacent parking aisles.
- 4. Parking lots shall provide a minimum ten percent net area of landscaping on the interior or exterior of parking lots.
- 5. Tree islands shall be placed along the perimeter of the parking area at each end of the drive aisles and interspersed as needed.
- 6. Where vehicles overhang a foundation planting strip, the width of the planting strip must be expanded to six feet.
- 7. For parking lots exceeding 75,000 square feet, linear planting strips are required every 250 linear feet which shall include, in addition to the required trees, 15 shrubs per 100 linear feet. These are minimum standards; additional landscaping is encouraged.
- 8. All landscaped areas shall be protected from vehicular encroachment by concrete curb and gutter unless planted per best management practices for Low Impact Development site design.



Example of low impact development site design



Example of retention ponds and edges

Zoning Administrator shall review and approve landscape plans prior to approval of the final plat.

### LANDSCAPE FOR COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS

1. Landscape plans shall be generally in accordance with the design guidelines described in section 8 and shall include screening for equipment and refuse collection areas.

#### LANDSCAPE FOR RETENTION AREAS

- 1. Retention ponds shall be landscaped generally as illustrated in Exhibit E- Master Plan with plants and materials as recommended by best management practices for bio retention areas.
- 2. Plant materials shall be compatible with the use.
- 3. The slopes shall not exceed 3:1.

All single family residential front yards shall be landscaped prior to closing.

The Avalon Point Stormwater Ponds shall include a 5-foot perimeter path that may be constructed of a semi-pervious material.

### 8.i. Tree Removal and Protection

The proposed planned development is located on undeveloped parcels and the developer shall work toward preserving existing vegetation where possible. The following will be required:

#### Tree survey

A tree survey is required prior to any new development or substantial redevelopment, or when the Planning Director deems it necessary. The following information shall be shown on a tree survey:

- 1. Name, phone number, address, signature, and seal of a licensed surveyor, landscape architect, or civil engineer registered in the State of South Carolina;
- 2. Tree survey clearly identifying the location and species of protected and grand trees and whether those trees are to be preserved, relocated, or removed;
- 3. The boundaries of the proposed development, including the different phases of development;
- 4. The boundaries of the buildable areas, and any proposed structures and parking areas;
- 5. The location, species and DBH of trees eight inches or greater DBH;
- 6. For sites greater than ten acres, the survey may consist of all grand trees for the entire site and a detailed sample survey of at least one acre that is representative of the majority of the site. For the purposes of determining mitigation, the detailed sample will be considered in determining the number of protected trees per acre;
- 7. Tree protection methods and specifications;
- 8. Trees shall be true to name and variety established by the American Joint Committee on Horticultural Nomenclature "Standardized Plant Names";
- 9. Any other information as deemed necessary by the applicant or by the Planning Director.

### Tree protection.

The city expects that the following tree protection methods shall be followed. The intent is not to have the city hire an arborist in order to enforce any section of this section. It is the responsibility of every applicant and his or her representative to conserve and protect trees according to the tree survey.

- a. Temporary protective barriers shall be erected at least up to the drip lines of trees to be saved.
- b. Provide protection fencing for trees designated to remain. The fencing shall be orange safety fencing at least three feet high supported by wood or metal poles.
- c. There shall be no construction, paving, operation of equipment or vehicles, or storage and dumping of materials within this protected zone. Where grading must occur, trees shall be protected.
- d. Temporary protective barriers shall be maintained until the issuance of the certificate of occupancy.
- e. No fill material shall be placed within the drip line of any tree in excess of acceptable level for the particular species.
- f. Landscaping activities taking place after the removal of temporary protective barriers shall be accomplished with light machinery or by hand.
- g. The Planning Director shall be notified prior to any deviation from the abovementioned criteria.
- h. Protected trees shall be marked with surveyor's flagging for easy identification and inspection.
- i. Corrective measures shall be taken for trees in declining health. Such measures may include:
  - 1. Initiation of pest control measures;
  - 2. Pruning for good forestry;
  - 3. Fertilizing to restore vigor; and
  - 4. Other mitigation measures as deemed necessary.

### Tree replacement, relocation and mitigation.

The intent of this section is to create conscientiousness in tree conservation and protection. The objective is to retain existing trees as much as possible, replant reasonably larger trees and provide alternate methods for tree replacement. Trees shall be mitigated according to the specifications provided herein.

- a. Each protected or grand tree that is determined by planning and zoning staff to be hazardous, diseased or injured to the extent it is irreparably damaged shall be approved for removal. The burden of providing proof of the extent of the hazard, disease or injury shall rest with the applicant, who must provide documentation from a certified arborist.
- b. Each protected or grand tree that is determined by planning and zoning staff to conflict with the implementation of this master plan shall be approved for removal.
- c. Removal of protected or grand trees shall be mitigated at 100%. Removal of the protected or grand trees in accordance with an approved site development plan shall be replaced by the applicant on the property through the replanting of species approved by the city at a minimum of four inch caliper to meet mitigation.

- 1. All existing trees including significant trees to be saved within the buildable area may count toward the total number of replacement trees required; and
- 2. Replacement trees may be the predominant species on the property, or of species approved by staff.
- c. A tree replacement schedule is required showing the location, species and sizes of any replacement trees to be planted.
- d. In areas outside the buildable areas (yard areas), with the exception of significant trees, all trees over four inches DBH shall be saved when practicable. This does not preclude an applicant from removing trees that unnecessarily hinder the development process, or trees that are in the way of driveways, easements and the like. The Planning Director shall be consulted prior to removing any trees.
- e. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for a completed structure, the Planning Director shall provide a compliance inspection including the provisions in this PD. It is the responsibility of the owner or agent to contact the Planning Director regarding the compliance inspection. The Certificate of Occupancy will be withheld pending verification of compliance. The Planning Director shall approve a delayed schedule for planting materials when the immediate planting schedule would impair the health of the plants. When a delayed planting schedule is approved, the applicant shall provide a bond equivalent to one and one-half times the projected cost of the planting materials.
  - 1. All trees planted, replanted, relocated or mitigated may count toward the landscaping requirement for trees. The City of Goose Creek encourages the planting of trees as an integral part of the landscaping design of a development. Tree planting shall be at least 10% of the landscape design of a project. The use of native trees is preferred.

## APPENDIX

## **EXHIBIT A - LOCATION MAP**



### **EXHIBIT B - EXISTING ZONING MAP**



### **EXHIBIT C - SURVEY**



## **EXHIBIT D - PROPOSED PLANNED DEVELOPMENT LAND USE**





### **EXHIBIT E - MASTER PLAN**



#### Legend

Red Bank Road Access

Red Bank Road 30' Activity Zone

Commercial Frontage

Wisteria Road Access

Townhome Neighborhood Community Garden

Single Family Neighborhood

Single Family Amenities:

Loop Trel Wester Bridge Ferrowd Dog Ram

Townhome Amenities: Trail excessions Pictic Steller Fire Person's Piesground

100' Community Greenway Loop Trail

Pandora Road Trailhead

### **EXHIBIT F - COMMERCIAL**



### **EXHIBIT G - CENTRAL COMMUNITY AMENITY**



## **EXHIBIT H - GARDEN FRONTAGE TOWNHOMES**



### **EXHIBIT I - FOREST CLEARING DOG PARK**



### **EXHIBIT J - BUFFER**



Le	egend
1	10' BUFFER
2	20' BUFFER
3	35' BUFFER
4	15'WETLAND BUFFER
5	WETLAND
6	HOA AMENITY AREA
7	EXISTING EASEMENT
8	30' RED BANK ACTIVITY ZONE

### **EXHIBIT K - TYPICAL 50' RIGHT OF WAY SECTION**



TYPICAL ROAD SECTION THIS IS EXHIBIT IS CONCEPTUAL AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE

## **EXHIBIT K - TYPICAL 20' ALLEY RIGHT OF WAY SECTION**



33

### **EXHIBIT L - WATER AVAILABILITY LETTER**



#### Chuck Denson DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

200 BUTTON HALL AVENUE P.O DRAWER 1768 GOOSE CREEK, SC 29445-1768 TEL (843) 824-2200 FAX (843) 863-5218 February 2, 2022

Josh Lilly - P.E. Stantec 4969 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 200 North Charleston, SC 29418-6952

Re: TMS# 244-09-02-008

Dear Mr. Lilly:

The City of Goose Creek has the water system capacity and the ability to serve the subject project. The owner will be responsible for all engineering design, utility construction and regulatory permitting associated with extending the water distribution system infrastructure to this project, including the transfer of ownership to the City. The City of Goose Creek will assume the operation and maintenance of this system once construction is completed and SCDHEC approval is obtained.

Please contact me at 824-2200 ext. 4263 should you have questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

C.E. Den

Chuck Denson, P.E. Director of Public Works

cc: City Administrator O&M Manager Planning Department Project File

### **EXHIBIT M - SEWER AVAILABILITY LETTER**



212 Oakley Plantation Dr. Moncks Corner, SC 29461 bcws.berkeleycountysc.gov

February 22, 2022

Bryan Kizer 117 Red Bank Rd. Goose Creek, SC 29445

Re: Application for Sewer Service, TMS # 244-09-02-008; 117 Red Bank Rd.

**Dear Customer:** 

We have on hand your residential application for sewer service. At this time, sewer is available to the above location. However, the owner would need to have approved plans and fees paid before any connections would be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact our Engineering Department at (843) 719-2317 or you may email <u>bcws.eng@berkeleycountysc.gov</u>.

Sincerely,



## **EXHIBIT N - SANTEE COOPER CORRESPONDENCE**

 
 From:
 Mahoney, Christopher

 To:
 Lilly, Josh

 Cc:
 Horne, Jenny; Tom Wallington

 Subject:
 RE: Red Bank

 Date:
 Thursday, May 26, 2022 3:35:23 PM

 Attachments:
 image002,png image003,png

Josh,

That is great news! Are we ready to start the formal review? If so please submit through our portal if you haven't already the link is in my signature "Utility Encroachment Management" and add the TEFIS numbers to the drawings. We will also need to see a sheet showing existing vs proposed grading, utility plan, landscape plan, lighting, etc.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Best Regards,



## **EXHIBIT O - BERKELEY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT CORRESPONDENCE**

## **EXHIBIT P - TIA**

	AVON TRACT			
	2022 February		Project No: 215616841	DRAFT
PREPARED FOR:	BEAZER HOMES - CHARLESTON	4401 BELLE OF	AKS, SUITE 120 // N. CHAF	RLESTON, SC, 29405



PREPARED BY: STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC. // N. CHARLESTON, SC



	AVON TRACT			
	<b>2022</b> February		Project No: <b>215616841</b>	DRAFT
PREPARED FOR:	<b>BEAZER HOMES - CHARLESTON</b>	4401 BELLE O	AKS, SUITE 120 // N. CHAF	RLESTON, SC, 29405

# TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

ALONG RED BANK ROAD IN BERKELEY, SOUTH CAROLINA





EXECU	TIVE SUMMARY	I
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1.1
1.1	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1.1
1.2	EXISTING ROADWAY CONDITIONS	1.1
2.0	DRIVEWAY SPACING REVIEW	2.1
3.0	PROJECT TRAFFIC	3.2
3.1	PROPOSED LAND USES	3.2
3.2	TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES	3.2
3.3	TRIP DISTRIBUTION & ASSIGNMENT	3.3
	3.3.1 New External Traffic	3.3
	3.3.2 Pass-By Traffic	3.3
4.0	TRAFFIC VOLUME DEVELOPMENT	4.1
4.1	EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES	4.1
4.2	FUTURE TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS	4.1
5.0	TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS	5.1
5.1	TURN LANE ANALYSIS	5.1
	5.1.1 Right-Turn Lanes	5.1
	5.1.2 Left-Turn Lanes	5.2
5.2	INTERSECTION LOS ANALYSIS	5.3
6.0	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6.1

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 – Minimum Driveway Spacing*	2.1
Table 3.1 – Trip Generation Estimates	3.2
Table 5.1 – Right-Turn Lane Criteria Warrants	5.1
Table 5.2 – Left-Turn Lane Criteria Warrants	5.2
Table 5.3 – HCM 6 <sup>th</sup> Edition Intersection LOS Criteria	5.3
Table 5.4 – Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Results	5.4

### LIST OF EXHIBIITS

Exhibit 1.1 – Avon Tract Location Map	1.2
Exhibit 1.2 – Avon Tract Site Plan	1.3
Exhibit 3.1 – Project Traffic Distribution and Assignment	3.4
Exhibit 3.2 – AM Peak Hour Project Traffic Volumes	3.5
Exhibit 3.3 – PM Peak Hour Project Traffic Volumes	3.6
Exhibit 4.1 – 2022 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	4.2
Exhibit 4.2 – 2025 No Build Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	4.3
Exhibit 4.3 – 2025 Build Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	4.4

### LIST OF APPENDICES

- Traffic Volume Data
- Appendix B Appendix C Traffic Volume Development Worksheets
- Analysis Worksheets: 2022 Existing Conditions Analysis Worksheets: 2025 No Build Conditions
- Appendix D Appendix E
- Appendix F Analysis Worksheets: 2025 Build Conditions

Appendix G Turn Lane Analysis Worksheets

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

A traffic impact analysis was conducted for the Avon Tract development in accordance with SCDOT and City of Goose Creek guidelines.

The proposed Avon Tract development (which is anticipated to be constructed by 2025) is located along Red Bank Road and will consist of single-family detached housing units, single-family attached housing units, and a strip retail plaza.

Access to the development is proposed to be provided via one right-in/right-out driveway along Red Bank Road and one proposed full access driveway along Wisteria Road, all of which meet the SCDOT spacing requirements:

- Project Driveway #1 is proposed to be located along Red Bank Road; and
- Project Driveway #2 is proposed to be located along Wisteria Road.

Therefore, the extent of the roadway network analyzed consisted of the four intersections of:

- 1. Red Bank Road & Gary Road;
- 2. Red Bank Road & Wisteria Road;
- 3. Red Bank Road & Project Driveway #1; and
- 4. Wisteria Road & Project Driveway #2.

The operation of each of these intersections (in terms of average vehicular delay and level of service) was analyzed with and without the project traffic anticipated to be generated by the Avon Tract development.

The results of the analysis indicate that the study intersections currently operate and are expected to continue to operate at an acceptable level of service with the proposed Avon Tract development.

Based on the turn lane criteria in SCDOT's *Roadway Design Manual*, exclusive turn lanes along Red Bank Road and Wisteria Road are not recommended at Project Driveway #1 or Project Driveway #2.

T

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

The purpose of this report is to document the procedures and findings of a traffic impact analysis for the proposed Avon Tract development in accordance with SCDOT and City of Goose Creek guidelines. The proposed Avon Tract development is located along Red Bank Road, as shown in **Exhibit 1.1**, and will consist of the following land uses, with anticipated completion in 2025:

- 33 Single-Family Detached Housing Units;
- 125 Single-Family Attached Housing Units; and
- ✤ 8,900 Square Feet of Strip Retail Plaza.

Access to the development will be provided through one rightin/right-out access driveway along Red Bank Road and one full access driveway along Wisteria Road, as shown in the site plan in **Exhibit 1.2**.

The traffic impact analysis considers the weekday AM peak hour (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM) and the weekday PM peak hour (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM) as the study time frames. The extent of the existing roadway network to be studied consists of the four intersections of:

- 1. Red Bank Road & Gary Road;
- 2. Red Bank Road & Wisteria Road;
- 3. Red Bank Road & Project Driveway #1; and
- 4. Wisteria Road & Project Driveway #2.

### 1.2 EXISTING ROADWAY CONDITIONS

Red Bank Road is a five-lane arterial that primarily serves commercial and residential land uses. The posted speed limit is 45 mph and the average annual daily traffic (AADT) in 2020 was 20,800 vehicles/day. Based upon existing turning movement counts, the percentage of heavy vehicles along Red Bank Road is approximately 2%.

0

Wisteria Road is a two-lane local roadway that primarily serves commercial and residential land uses, and the posted speed limit is 30 mph. Based upon existing turning movement counts, the percentage of heavy vehicles along Wisteria Road is approximately 2%.

Gary Street is a two-lane local roadway that primarily serves residential land uses. Based upon existing turning movement counts, the percentage of heavy vehicles along Gary Street is less than 1%.

Exhibit 1.1 – Avon Tract Location Map



### Exhibit 1.2 – Avon Tract Site Plan


### 2.0 DRIVEWAY SPACING REVIEW

Access to the development will be provided through one proposed right-in/right-out driveway along Red Bank Road and one proposed full access driveway along Wisteria Road.

Project Driveway #1 is proposed to be located along Red Bank Road and Project Driveway #2 is proposed to be located along Wisteria Road.

A review of the driveway spacing of the proposed driveways was completed based on information contained in SCDOT's *Access & Roadside Management Standards (ARMS)* manual (2008), shown in the adapted **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 – Minimum Driveway Spacing\*

Posted Speed Limit (mph)	AADT ≥ 2000; <i>or</i> Driveways Generating > 50 Peak Hour Trips	AADT < 2000
30	160 ft	75 ft
35	220 ft	125 ft
40	275 ft	175 ft
45	325 ft	225 ft
≥ 50	400 ft	275 ft

\*Figure 3-7 of Access & Roadside Management Standards, 2008, SCDOT

Based upon the *ARMS* criteria, **a minimum of 150 feet** of spacing is required for right-in/right-out access along Red Bank Road. Based upon the 30-mph speed limit and the driveway spacing criteria of *ARMS*, **a minimum of 160 feet** is required for full access along Wisteria Road.

**Project Driveway #1** is proposed to be located along Red Bank Road, approximately 230 feet south of the intersection of Red Bank Road & Gary Road, which meets the spacing criteria and approximately 300 feet north of the intersection of Red Bank Road & McClain Street, which meets the spacing criteria.

0

**Project Driveway #2** is proposed to be located along Wisteria Road aligned with an existing driveway to the Kennedy Center.

### 3.0 PROJECT TRAFFIC

### 3.1 PROPOSED LAND USES

Project Traffic in this analysis is defined as the vehicle trips anticipated to be generated by the proposed Avon Tract development. These trips were distributed and assigned throughout the study roadway network.

The Avon Tract development is proposed to consist of the following land uses:

- 33 Single-Family Detached Housing Units;
- 125 Single-Family Attached Housing Units; and
- ✤ 8,900 Square Feet of Strip Retail Plaza.

### 3.2 TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES

The trip generation potential for the development was estimated using information contained in ITE's *Trip Generation Manual*, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition (2021) reference. The estimates utilized the following land use codes:

0

- LUC 210 Single-Family Detached Housing ;
- LUC 215 Single-Family Attached Housing; and
- LUC 822 Strip Retail Plaza (<40K).</li>

Due to the nature of the proposed Avon Tract development, internal capture trips and pass-by trips were considered in the trip generation estimates.

Internal capture considers interaction between multiple uses in a development and was limited to 20%. Pass-by traffic is attracted from the existing traffic volumes on adjacent roadways and reduces the new trip impacts of a retail project site. Internal and pass-by capture traffic was estimated using information contained in ITE's *Trip Generation Manual*, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition (2021) reference.

Land Use	ITE	Scale	Daily	Weel AM Peal	kday k Period	Weel PM Peal	kday k Period
	LUC			Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	33 DU	364	7	20	22	13
Single-Family Attached Housing	215	125 DU	902	18	41	40	31
Strip Retail Plaza (<40K)	822	8.9 KSF	605	13	8	36	36
		Gross Trips:	1,871	38	69	98	80
	– Interna	I Capture Trips	-218	-3	-3	-13	-13
	– Pass-by	Capture Trips	-199	-4	-3	-12	-12
	New,	External Trips	1,454	31	63	73	55

#### Table 3.1 – Trip Generation Estimates

### 3.3 TRIP DISTRIBUTION & ASSIGNMENT

### 3.3.1 New External Traffic

New external traffic expected to be generated by the Avon Tract development was distributed and assigned to the roadway network based upon existing travel patterns in the area. The general distribution of project trips was assumed to be:

- ✤ 50% to/from the north via Red Bank Road; and
- ✤ 50% to/from the south via Red Bank Road.

The assignment of new external project traffic anticipated to be generated by the Avon Tract development is illustrated in **Exhibit 3.1** and the AM and PM peak hour project traffic volumes are illustrated in **Exhibit 3.2** and **Exhibit 3.3**, respectively.

### 3.3.2 Pass-By Traffic

Pass-by traffic expected to be generated by the Avon Tract development was distributed and assigned to the roadway network based upon existing travel patterns in the area. The general distribution of pass-by project trips was assumed to be:

- ✤ 50% to/from the north via Red Bank Road; and
- ✤ 50% to/from the south via Red Bank Road.

The AM and PM peak hour pass-by traffic anticipated to be generated by the Avon Tract development is illustrated in **Exhibit 3.2** and **Exhibit 3.3**, respectively.















### 4.0 TRAFFIC VOLUME DEVELOPMENT

### 4.1 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The traffic impact analysis considers the weekday AM peak hour (between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM) and the weekday PM peak hour (between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM) as the study time frames. The extent of the existing roadway network to be studied consists of the four intersections of:

- 1. Red Bank Road & Gary Road;
- 2. Red Bank Road & Wisteria Road;
- 3. Red Bank Road & Project Driveway #1; and
- 4. Wisteria Road & Project Driveway #2.

Existing 2022 traffic volumes were collected at these study area intersections during the AM and PM peak periods listed above.

The raw traffic volume counts are provided in **Appendix B** and the 2022 existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes are illustrated in **Exhibit 4.1**.

### 4.2 FUTURE TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS

Future 2025 No Build traffic volumes were developed by adding *background traffic growth* to the collected existing study area peak hour volumes. *Background traffic growth* is growth anticipated to occur in the study area regardless of the proposed Avon Tract development.

To develop an annual background growth rate for use in the analysis, historical count data along Red Bank Road (SCDOT count stations #227 and #230) was reviewed over the past 10 years. It was determined that the roadways have experienced a collective annual growth of 0.6%. Therefore, in an effort to be conservative, a 1% annual growth rate was utilized to develop anticipated *background traffic growth* through the anticipated 2025 buildout year.

2025 No Build AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes, illustrated in **Exhibit 4.2**, were developed by adding the *background traffic growth* (assuming 1% annual growth of the existing traffic volumes) to the 2022 existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes.

2025 Build AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes, illustrated in **Exhibit 4.3**, were developed by adding the Avon Tract project traffic volumes (shown in **Exhibit 3.2** and **Exhibit 3.3**) to the 2025 No Build traffic volumes.

Volume development worksheets for each intersection are documented in **Appendix C**.













### 5.0 TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

A traffic impact analysis was conducted for the Avon Tract development which analyzed the need for turn lanes at the project driveways as well as the operation of study area intersections according to *Highway Capacity Manual* 6<sup>th</sup> *Edition (HCM* 6<sup>th</sup> *Edition)* methodologies.

### 5.1 TURN LANE ANALYSIS

### 5.1.1 Right-Turn Lanes

The need for exclusive right-turn lanes is based upon the criteria documented in *Section 9.5.1.1* of SCDOT's *Roadway Design Manual* (2017), which consists of nine considerations, listed below:

- **1.** At a free-flowing leg of any unsignalized intersection on a two-lane urban or rural highway which satisfies the criteria in Figure 9.5-A;
- **2.** at a free-flowing leg of any unsignalized intersection on a high-speed (50 mph or greater), four-lane urban or rural highway which satisfies the criteria in Figure 9.5-B;
- **3.** at the free-flowing leg of any unsignalized intersection on a six-lane urban or rural highway;
- **4.** at any intersection where a capacity analysis determines a right-turn lane is necessary to meet the overall level-of-service criteria;
- 5. as a general rule, at any signalized intersection where the projected right-turning volume is greater than 300 vehicles per hour and where there are greater than 300 vehicles per hour per lane on the mainline (A traffic analysis will be required if the turning volumes are greater than 300 vehicles per hour);
- 6. for uniformity of intersection design along the highway if other intersections have right-turn lanes;
- 7. at any intersection where the mainline is curved to the left and where the mainline curve requires superelevation;
- 8. at railroad crossings where the railroad is paralleled to the facility and is located close to the intersection and where a right-turn lane would be desirable to store queued vehicles avoiding interference with the movement of through traffic; or
- **9.** at any intersection where the crash experience, existing traffic operations, sight distance restrictions (e.g., intersection beyond a crest vertical curve), or engineering judgement indicates a significant conflict related to right-turning vehicles;

**Table 5.1** below details whether the previously mentioned criteria for exclusive right-turn lanes are satisfied for each driveway. An "\*" indicates that the criteria is not met; a " $\checkmark$ " indicates that it is met; and "N/A" indicates that the criteria is not applicable.

Table 5.1 – Right-Turn Lane Criteria Warrants

Critorio	Project [	Driveway	Deference/Nete
Criteria	1	2	Reference/Note
1	N/A	jc	Appendix G
2	x	N/A	Appendix G
3	x	۶¢	Not 6-lane highway
4	x	JC	Table 5.4
5	x	jc	Not signalized
6	ઝ	jc	Not typically provided
7	x	ગર	Mainline not curved
8	ઝ	3C	No railroad crossing
9	ઝર	sc	Crash data not provided

Based on SCDOT's *Roadway Design Manual* considerations, exclusive right-turn lanes along Red Bank Road and Wisteria Road **are not recommended** at Project Driveways #1 and #2.

### 5.1.2 Left-Turn Lanes

The need for exclusive left-turn lanes is based upon the criteria documented in *Section 9.5.1.2* of SCDOT's *Roadway Design Manual* (2017), which consists of nine considerations, listed below:

- **1.** At any unsignalized intersection on principal, high-speed rural highways with other arterials or collectors;
- at any unsignalized intersection on a two-lane urban or rural highway that satisfies the criteria in Figures 9.5-C, 9.5-D, 9.5-E, 9.5-F, or 9.5-G;
- **3.** at any intersection where a capacity analysis determines a left-turn lane is necessary to meet the level of service criteria;
- **4.** at any signalized intersection where the left-turn volume is 300 vehicles per hour or more, conduct a traffic review to determine if dual left-turn lanes are required;
- as a general rule, at any intersection where the leftturning volume is 100 vehicles per hour (for a single turn lane) or 300 vehicles per hour (for a dual turn lane);
- **6.** at all entrances to major residential, commercial, and industrial developments;
- 7. at all median crossovers;
- **8.** for uniformity of intersection design along the highway if other intersections have left-turn lanes (i.e., to satisfy driver expectancy); or
- **9.** at any intersection where the crash experience, existing traffic operations, sight distance restrictions (e.g., intersection beyond a crest vertical curve), or engineering judgement indicates a significant conflict related to left-turning vehicles;

**Table 5.2** below details whether the previously mentioned criteria for exclusive left-turn lanes are satisfied for each driveway. An " $\star$ " indicates that the criteria is not met; a " $\star$ " indicates that it is met; and "N/A" indicates that the criteria is not applicable.

Criteria	Project [	Driveway	Reference/Note
	-	2	
1	N/A	sc	Not arterial or collector
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	ઝર	Table 5.4
4	N/A	ઝર	Exhibit 4.3
5	N/A	ઝર	Exhibit 4.3
6	N/A	sc	Not major development
7	N/A	ઝર	No median crossovers
8	N/A	ઝર	Not typically provided
9	N/A	ગર	No crash data provided

Table 5.2 – Left-Turn Lane Criteria Warrants

Based on SCDOT's *Roadway Design Manual* considerations, exclusive left-turn lane along Wisteria Road **is not recommended** at *Project Driveway* #2.

### 5.2 INTERSECTION LOS ANALYSIS

Using the existing and projected peak hour traffic volumes previously discussed, intersection analysis was conducted for the study and project driveway intersections considering 2022 Existing Conditions, 2025 No Build Conditions, and 2025 Build Conditions. The analysis was conducted using the Transportation Research Board's *Highway Capacity Manual* 6<sup>th</sup> *Edition (HCM 6<sup>th</sup> Edition)* methodologies of the *Synchro*, Version 10 software for stop-controlled and signalized intersection analysis.

Intersection level of service (LOS) grades range from LOS A to LOS F, which are directly related to the level of control delay at the intersection and characterize the operational conditions of the intersection traffic flow. LOS A operations typically represent ideal, free-flow conditions where vehicles experience little to no delays, and LOS F operations typically represent poor, forced-flow (bumper-to-bumper) conditions with high vehicular delays, and are generally considered undesirable. **Table 5.3** summarizes the HCM 6<sup>th</sup> Edition control delay thresholds associated with each LOS grade for unsignalized and signalized intersections. Level of service A through D is considered to be acceptable LOS, while LOS E and F is considered to be undesirable.

Table 5.3 – HCM 6 <sup>th</sup> Editior	Intersection LOS Criteria
---	---------------------------

1.05	Control Delay	per Vehicle (s)
L03	Unsignalized*	Signalized
А	≤ 10	≤ 10
В	> 10 and $\leq$ 15	> 10 and $\leq$ 20
С	> 15 and $\leq$ 25	> 20 and $\leq$ 35
D	> 25 and $\leq$ 35	> 35 and $\leq$ 55
E	$> 35$ and $\leq 50$	> 55 and ≤ 80
F	> 50	> 80

As part of the intersection analysis, SCDOT's default *Synchro* parameters were utilized. The existing 2022 traffic counts' peak hour factors (PHF) were utilized in the analysis of existing conditions. Future-year 2025 conditions were analyzed utilizing existing PHF, but with a minimum PHF of 0.90 and maximum PHF of 0.95 considered. The existing 2022 heavy vehicle percentages, as previously discussed, were utilized in the analysis, with a minimum percentage of 2% considered.

Existing lane geometry was utilized for the analysis of 2022 Existing Conditions and 2025 No Build Conditions. The 2025 Build Conditions were analyzed both with existing lane geometry and with any proposed improvements resulting from this impact analysis (including any proposed exclusive turn lanes per the results of **Section 5.1**) to illustrate their anticipated impact on traffic operations.

The results of the intersection analysis for existing and futureyear conditions for the weekday AM and PM peak hour time periods are summarized in **Table 5.4**.

For signalized intersections and roundabouts, the overall intersection LOS and delay results are evaluated for acceptable operation, while for two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) intersections, the LOS and delay results are evaluated for the worst-case minor-street approaches only, per *HCM* 6<sup>th</sup> *Edition* methodologies for TWSC intersections.

As shown in **Table 5.4**, the results of the analysis indicate that the study intersections currently operate and are expected to continue to operate at an acceptable LOS with the proposed Avon Tract development.

Worksheets documenting the intersection analyses are provided in **Appendix D** for 2022 Existing Conditions, **Appendix E** for 2025 No Build Conditions, and **Appendix F** for 2025 Build Conditions.

### Table 5.4 – Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Results

					LOS/Delay (se	conds/vehicle)		
	Internetien	Control		AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour	
	Intersection	Control	2022 Existing	2025 No Build	2025 Build	2022 Existing	2025 No Build	2025 Build
1	Red Bank Road & Gary Road	TWSC	C/20.3 (EB)	C/19.8 (EB)	C/20.5 (EB)	C/15.9 (EB)	C/16.3 (EB)	C/16.6 (EB)
2	Red Bank Road & Wisteria Road	SIGNAL	A/5.7	A/5.7	A/7.1	A/9.2	A/9.9	B/12.0
3	Red Bank Road & Project Driveway #1	TWSC	-	-	B/10.6 (WB)	-	-	B/13.5 (WB)
4	Wisteria Road & Project Driveway #2	TWSC	-	-	A/9.2 (NB)	-	-	B/10.2 (NB)

### 6.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A traffic impact analysis was conducted for the Avon Tract development in accordance with SCDOT and City of Goose Creek guidelines.

The proposed Avon Tract development (which is anticipated to be constructed by 2025) is located along Red Bank Road and will consist of single-family detached housing units, single-family attached housing units, and a strip retail plaza.

Access to the development is proposed to be provided via one right-in/right-out driveway along Red Bank Road and one proposed full access driveway along Wisteria Road, all of which meet the SCDOT spacing requirements:

- Project Driveway #1 is proposed to be located along Red Bank Road; and
- Project Driveway #2 is proposed to be located along Wisteria Road.

Therefore, the extent of the roadway network analyzed consisted of the four intersections of:

- 1. Red Bank Road & Gary Road;
- 2. Red Bank Road & Wisteria Road;
- 3. Red Bank Road & Project Driveway #1; and
- 4. Wisteria Road & Project Driveway #2.

The operation of each of these intersections (in terms of average vehicular delay and level of service) was analyzed with and without the project traffic anticipated to be generated by the Avon Tract development.

0

The results of the analysis indicate that the study intersections currently operate and are expected to continue to operate at an acceptable level of service with the proposed Avon Tract development.

Based on the turn lane criteria in SCDOT's *Roadway Design Manual*, exclusive turn lanes along Red Bank Road and Wisteria Road are not recommended at Project Driveway #1 or Project Driveway #2.

# AVON TRACT TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS APPENDICES

 $\bigcirc$ 

## Appendix A TRIP GENERATION WORKSHEETS

### TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES

### Avon Tract Development

### Weekday Daily

Trip	Genei	ation	Charact	eristics		Direc Distril	Directional istribution		Gross Trips			Internal Capture Trips				s-By C	apture	Trips	New External Trips		
Land Use	Ed.	LUC	Scale	Unit	Equation/Rate	In	Out	In	Out	Total	%	In	Out	Trips	%	In	Out	Trips	In	Out	Total
Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)	11th	220	216	Homes	T = 6.41(X) + 75.31	50%	50%	730	730	1,460	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	730	730	1,460
							Total:	730	730	1,460	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	730	730	1,460

#### Weekday AM Peak Hour

Trip	Genei	ration	Charact	eristics		Direc Distril	tional oution	G	Gross Trips		Inte	ernal Ca	apture 1	<b>Trips</b>	Pas	s-By C	apture	Trips	New External Trips		
Land Use	Equation/Rate	In	Out	In	Out	Total	%	In	Out	Trips	%	In	Out	Trips	In	Out	Total				
Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) 11th 220 216 Homes T = 0.31(X) + 22.85						24%	76%	22	68	90	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	22	68	90
							Total:	22	68	90	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	22	68	90

### Weekday PM Peak Hour

Trip	Genei	ration	Charact	eristics		Direc Distri	tional bution	G	ross Tr	ips	Inte	ernal Ca	apture <sup>-</sup>	<b>Trips</b>	Pas	s-By C	apture	Trips	Ne	ew Exte Trips	rnal
Land Use	Ed.	LUC	Scale	Unit	Equation/Rate	In	Out	In	Out	Total	%	In	Out	Trips	%	In	Out	Trips	In	Out	Total
Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)	11th	220	216	Homes	T = 0.43(X) + 20.55	T = 0.43(X) + 20.55 63% 37%					0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	71	42	113
								71	42	113	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	71	42	113

## Appendix B TRAFFIC VOLUME DATA

 $\bigcirc$ 

### 735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201 We can't say we're the Best, but you Can!

File Name : Red Bank Rd @ S-8-384 Site Code : Start Date : 01/19/2022 Page No : 1

	Groups Printed- Passenger Vehicles - Heavy Vehicles - Buses																
		Red Ba	ank Rd							Red Ba	ank Rd			S-8-	384		
		South	ound			West	ound			North	bound			Eastb	ound		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Int. Total
07:00	0	210	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	0	0	1	0	0	0	328
07:15	0	259	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122	0	0	1	0	0	0	382
07:30	0	242	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	188	0	0	0	0	0	0	430
07:45	0	186	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	328
Total	0	897	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	569	0	0	2	0	0	0	1468
08:00	0	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	126	0	0	0	0	0	0	275
08:15	0	166	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	260
08:30	0	143	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	244
08:45	0	143	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	121	0	0	0	0	0	0	264
Total	0	601	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	441	0	0	0	0	0	0	1043
16:00	0	165	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	215	0	0	0	0	1	0	383
16:15	0	170	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	215	0	0	1	0	0	0	386
16:30	0	163	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	229	0	0	0	0	0	0	396
16:45	0	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	0	0	0	0	1	0	436
Total	0	688	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	904	0	0	1	0	2	0	1601
17:00	0	189	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	276	0	0	1	0	0	0	467
17:15	0	195	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	246	0	0	0	0	0	0	443
17:30	0	199	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	235	0	0	1	0	0	0	436
17:45	0	166	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	215	0	0	1	0	0	0	382
Total	0	749	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	972	0	0	3	0	0	0	1728
Grand Total	0	2935	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	2886	0	0	6	0	2	0	5840
Apprch %	0	99.8	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	99.8	0	0	75	0	25	0	
Total %	0	50.3	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	49.4	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	
Passenger Vehicles	0	2874	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	2841	0	0	6	0	2	0	5734
% Passenger Vehicles	0	97.9	100	0	0	0	0	0	100	98.4	0	0	100	0	100	0	98.2
Heavy Vehicles	0	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	97
% Heavy Vehicles	0	1.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.7
Buses	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
% Buses	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2

SHORT COUNTS, LLC 735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201 We can't say we're the Best, but you Can!



735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201 We can't say we're the Best, but you Can!

> File Name : Red Bank Rd @ S-8-384 Site Code : Start Date : 01/19/2022 Page No : 3

	Red Bank Rd											Re	d Banl	< Rd				S-8-38	4		
		Sc	outhbo	und			N	/estbo	und			N	orthbo	und			E	astbou	ind		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Ar	nalysis	From (	07:00 t	o 08:4	5 - Peak	1 of 1															
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	s at 07:0	0															
07:00	0	210	0	0	210	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	0	0	117	1	0	0	0	1	328
07:15	0	259	0	0	259	0	0	0	0	0	0	122	0	0	122	1	0	0	0	1	382
07:30	0	242	0	0	242	0	0	0	0	0	0	188	0	0	188	0	0	0	0	0	430
07:45	0	186	0	0	186	0	0	0	0	0	0	142	0	0	142	0	0	0	0	0	328
Total Volume	0	897	0	0	897	0	0	0	0	0	0	569	0	0	569	2	0	0	0	2	1468
% App. Total	0	100	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	100	0	0		100	0	0	0		
PHF	.000	.866	.000	.000	.866	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.757	.000	.000	.757	.500	.000	.000	.000	.500	.853
Passenger Vehicles	0	883	0	0	883	0	0	0	0	0	0	556	0	0	556	2	0	0	0	2	1441
% Passenger Vehicles																					
Heavy Vehicles	0	13	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	23
% Heavy Vehicles	0	1.4	0	0	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	1.6
Buses	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
% Buses	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.3



735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201 We can't say we're the Best, but you Can!

> File Name : Red Bank Rd @ S-8-384 Site Code : Start Date : 01/19/2022 Page No : 4

		Re	d Bank	Rd								Re	d Banl	< Rd				S-8-38	4		
		So	uthbou	und			W	estbou	und			N	orthbo	und			E	astbou	Ind		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Ar	nalysis	From 1	16:00 to	o 17:4	5 - Peak	1 of 1															
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	at 16:4	5															
16:45	0	190	0	0	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	245	0	0	245	0	0	1	0	1	436
17:00	0	189	1	0	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	276	0	0	276	1	0	0	0	1	467
17:15	0	195	1	0	196	0	0	0	0	0	1	246	0	0	247	0	0	0	0	0	443
17:30	0	199	1	0	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	235	0	0	235	1	0	0	0	1	436
Total Volume	0	773	3	0	776	0	0	0	0	0	1	1002	0	0	1003	2	0	1	0	3	1782
% App. Total	0	99.6	0.4	0		0	0	0	0		0.1	99.9	0	0		66.7	0	33.3	0		
PHF	.000	.971	.750	.000	.970	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.250	.908	.000	.000	.909	.500	.000	.250	.000	.750	.954
Passenger Vehicles	0	765	3	0	768	0	0	0	0	0	1	992	0	0	993	2	0	1	0	3	1764
% Passenger Vehicles																					
Heavy Vehicles	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	17
% Heavy Vehicles	0	0.9	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	0	0	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	1.0
Buses	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% Buses	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1



### 735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201 We can't say we're the Best, but you Can!

File Name : Red Bank Rd @ Wisteria Rd Site Code : Start Date : 01/19/2022 Page No : 1

					Froups P	rinted- F	asseng	er Vehic	les - He	avy Veh	icles - B	uses					1
		Red Ba	ank Rd			Wister	ia Rd			Red Ba	ank Rd						
		South	bound			Westb	ound			North	bound			Eastb	ound		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Int. Total
07:00	4	189	0	0	5	0	7	0	0	109	0	0	0	0	0	0	314
07:15	3	262	0	0	7	0	9	0	0	120	1	0	0	0	0	0	402
07:30	3	233	0	0	5	0	4	0	0	195	3	0	0	0	0	0	443
07:45	6	194	0	0	4	0	8	0	0	138	6	0	0	0	0	0	356
Total	16	878	0	0	21	0	28	0	0	562	10	0	0	0	0	0	1515
																	i.
08:00	6	143	0	0	6	0	11	1	0	114	4	0	0	0	0	0	285
08:15	10	149	0	0	7	0	8	0	0	103	1	0	0	0	0	0	278
08:30	14	140	0	0	4	0	6	0	0	101	4	0	0	0	0	0	269
08:45	9	137	0	0	8	0	13	2	0	106	5	0	0	0	0	0	280
Total	39	569	0	0	25	0	38	3	0	424	14	0	0	0	0	0	1112
									1								I.
16:00	13	168	0	1	9	0	10	0	0	228	6	0	0	0	0	0	435
16:15	21	166	0	0	5	0	11	0	0	199	5	0	0	0	0	0	407
16:30	13	150	0	0	8	0	14	0	0	238	5	0	0	0	0	0	428
16:45	20	185	0	0	8	0	14	0	0	224	9	0	0	0	0	0	460
Total	67	669	0	1	30	0	49	0	0	889	25	0	0	0	0	0	1730
									1								ı.
17:00	22	167	0	0	14	0	16	0	0	248	8	0	0	0	0	0	475
17:15	16	199	0	0	7	0	12	0	0	246	1	0	0	0	0	0	481
17:30	20	180	0	0	9	0	14	1	0	234	9	0	0	0	0	0	467
17:45	20	176	0	0	4	0	10	1	0	221	7	0	0	0	0	0	439
Total	78	722	0	0	34	0	52	2	0	949	25	0	0	0	0	0	1862
									1								I.
Grand Total	200	2838	0	1	110	0	167	5	0	2824	74	0	0	0	0	0	6219
Apprch %	6.6	93.4	0	0	39	0	59.2	1.8	0	97.4	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	
Total %	3.2	45.6	0	0	1.8	0	2.7	0.1	0	45.4	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	
Passenger Vehicles	195	2769	0	1	108	0	163	5	0	2778	69	0	0	0	0	0	6088
% Passenger Vehicles	97.5	97.6	0	100	98.2	0	97.6	100	0	98.4	93.2	0	0	0	0	0	97.9
Heavy Vehicles	5	64	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	42	4	0	0	0	0	0	121
% Heavy Vehicles	2.5	2.3	0	0	1.8	0	2.4	0	0	1.5	5.4	0	0	0	0	0	1.9
Buses	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
% Buses	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.2

SHORT COUNTS, LLC 735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201 We can't say we're the Best, but you Can!

File Name : Red Bank Rd @ Wisteria Rd Site Code : Start Date : 01/19/2022 Page No :2 Red Bank Rd Out 2941 Total 5906 In 2965 46 69 115 4 5 9 6030 2991 3039 0 2769 0 64 0 5 0 2838 195 1 5 0 0 0 200 1 Right ↓ Thru Left Peds 4 Total 0 0 0000 163 4 167 Right -eft 264 9 0000 North 0000 01/19/2022 07:00 hru ⊆ 01/19/2022 17:45 000 1n 276 6 282 0000 Right 110 Left Passenger Vehicles 2 108 0 Heavy Vehicles <u>fotal</u> 540 15 Buses Peds 556 ຫວວຫ 4 Thru Right Peds \_eft 0 0 2778 69 0 42 4 0 0 4 0 2824 0 74 0 2877 2847 5724 46 5 112 10 66 5 2948 2898 5846 Out Total In 1 Bar 

735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201 We can't say we're the Best, but you Can!

> File Name : Red Bank Rd @ Wisteria Rd Site Code : Start Date : 01/19/2022 Page No : 3

		Re	d Banl	k Rd			W	/isteria	Rd			Re	d Banl	k Rd							
		Sc	outhbo	und			N	estbo	und			N	orthbo	und			E	astbou	Ind		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From (	07:00 t	o 08:4	5 - Peak	1 of 1															
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	s at 07:0	0															
07:00	4	189	0	0	193	5	0	7	0	12	0	109	0	0	109	0	0	0	0	0	314
07:15	3	262	0	0	265	7	0	9	0	16	0	120	1	0	121	0	0	0	0	0	402
07:30	3	233	0	0	236	5	0	4	0	9	0	195	3	0	198	0	0	0	0	0	443
07:45	6	194	0	0	200	4	0	8	0	12	0	138	6	0	144	0	0	0	0	0	356
Total Volume	16	878	0	0	894	21	0	28	0	49	0	562	10	0	572	0	0	0	0	0	1515
% App. Total	1.8	98.2	0	0		42.9	0	57.1	0		0	98.3	1.7	0		0	0	0	0		
PHF	.667	.838	.000	.000	.843	.750	.000	.778	.000	.766	.000	.721	.417	.000	.722	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.855
Passenger Vehicles	15	862	0	0	877	21	0	26	0	47	0	548	9	0	557	0	0	0	0	0	1481
% Passenger Vehicles																					
Heavy Vehicles	1	15	0	0	16	0	0	2	0	2	0	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	29
% Heavy Vehicles	6.3	1.7	0	0	1.8	0	0	7.1	0	4.1	0	2.0	0	0	1.9	0	0	0	0	0	1.9
Buses	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5
% Buses	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	10.0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0.3



#### 735 Maryland St Columbia, SC 29201 We can't say we're the Best, but you Can!

File Name : Red Bank Rd @ Wisteria Rd Site Code : Start Date : 01/19/2022 Page No : 4

		Re	d Bank	k Rd			W	'isteria	Rd			Re	d Banl	< Rd							
		So	outhbou	und			W	estbou	und			N	orthbo	und			E	astbou	Ind		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Ar	nalysis	From 1	16:00 to	o 17:4	5 - Peak	1 of 1															
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 16:4	5															
16:45	20	185	0	0	205	8	0	14	0	22	0	224	9	0	233	0	0	0	0	0	460
17:00	22	167	0	0	189	14	0	16	0	30	0	248	8	0	256	0	0	0	0	0	475
17:15	16	199	0	0	215	7	0	12	0	19	0	246	1	0	247	0	0	0	0	0	481
17:30	20	180	0	0	200	9	0	14	1	24	0	234	9	0	243	0	0	0	0	0	467
Total Volume	78	731	0	0	809	38	0	56	1	95	0	952	27	0	979	0	0	0	0	0	1883
% App. Total	9.6	90.4	0	0		40	0	58.9	1.1		0	97.2	2.8	0		0	0	0	0		
PHF	.886	.918	.000	.000	.941	.679	.000	.875	.250	.792	.000	.960	.750	.000	.956	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.979
Passenger Vehicles	77	720	0	0	797	38	0	56	1	95	0	941	25	0	966	0	0	0	0	0	1858
% Passenger Vehicles																					
Heavy Vehicles	1	10	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	24
% Heavy Vehicles	1.3	1.4	0	0	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	7.4	0	1.3	0	0	0	0	0	1.3
Buses	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
% Buses	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1



Appendix C TRAFFIC VOLUME DEVELOPMENT WORKSHEETS

		1 - Ga	ary Stre	et & Re	ed Bank	Road						
					ΤΟΤΑ	L PROJ	ECT TR	AFFIC				
Traffic Control:	TWSC				IN	OUT		IN	OUT			
Date Counted:	1/19/20	22		AM	31	63	PM	73	55			
AM PEAK HOUR	EDI	EDT	500		WDT			NDT		0.01	ODT	000
7:00 AM - 8:00 AM	EBL	EBI	EBR	WBL	WBI	WBR	NBL	NBI	NBR	SBL	281	SBR
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	569	0	0	897	0
Years to Buildout	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Yearly Growth Rate	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Background Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	27	0
Vested Traffic												
2025 No Build Traffic Volumes	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	586	0	0	924	0
Inbound Project Traffic %												
Outbound Project Traffic %								30%			50%	
2025 Project Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	32	0
2025 Pass-By Traffic												
2025 Build Traffic Volumes	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	605	0	0	956	0
PM PEAK HOUR	FRI	FRT	FBR	WBI	WRT	WBR	NBI	NRT	NBR	SBI	SBT	SBR
4:45 PM - 5:45 PM	LDL	LDI	LBR	WDL	<b>ND</b>	<b>MB</b> R	NBL	NBI	NBR	ODL	001	OBI
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1,002	0	0	773	3
Years to Buildout	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Yearly Growth Rate	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Background Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	23	0
Vested Traffic												
2025 No Build Traffic Volumes	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1,032	0	0	796	3
Inbound Project Traffic %												
Outbound Project Traffic %								30%			50%	
2025 Project Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	28	0
2025 Pass-By Traffic												
2025 Build Traffic Volumes	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1,049	0	0	824	3

		2 - Wis	teria R	oad & F	Red Bar	nk Road						
					τοτα	L PROJ	ECT TR	AFFIC				
Traffic Control:	Signal				IN	OUT		IN	OUT			
Date Counted:	1/19/20	22		AM	31	63	PM	73	55			
AM PEAK HOUR	EDI	EDT	500		MOT		NDI	NDT		0.01	ODT	000
7:00 AM - 8:00 AM	CDL	EDI	EDK	WBL	VVDI	WDR	NDL	NDI	NBR	SBL	361	SBK
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	21	0	28	0	562	10	16	878	0
Years to Buildout	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Yearly Growth Rate	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Background Traffic	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	17	0	0	26	0
Vested Traffic												
2025 No Build Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	22	0	29	0	579	10	16	904	0
Inbound Project Traffic %										50%		
Outbound Project Traffic %				50%		20%		30%				
2025 Project Traffic	0	0	0	32	0	13	0	19	0	16	0	0
2025 Pass-By Traffic				1						2	-2	
2025 Build Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	55	0	42	0	598	10	34	902	0
PM PEAK HOUR	FBI	FBT	FBR	WBI	WRT	WBR	NBI	NRT	NBR	SBI	SBT	SBR
4:45 PM - 5:45 PM		LDI	LDI	WDL	WBI	WBR	NDL	NDT	NDR	OBL	OBT	OBI
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	38	0	56	0	952	27	78	731	0
Years to Buildout	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Yearly Growth Rate	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Background Traffic	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	29	1	2	22	0
Vested Traffic												
2025 No Build Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	39	0	58	0	981	28	80	753	0
Inbound Project Traffic %										50%		
Outbound Project Traffic %				50%		20%		30%				
2025 Project Traffic	0	0	0	28	0	10	0	17	0	37	0	0
2025 Pass-By Traffic				6						6	-6	
2025 Build Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	73	0	68	0	998	28	123	747	0

	3 -	Projec	t Drive	way #1	& Red I	Bank R	oad					
					ΤΟΤΑ	L PROJ	ECT TR	AFFIC				
Traffic Control:	TWSC				IN	OUT		IN	OUT			
Date Counted:	1/0/190	0		AM	31	63	PM	73	55			
AM PEAK HOUR	EDI	EDT	EDD	WDI	WDT		NDI	NDT		<b>CDI</b>	CDT	CDD
12:00 AM -	EDL	EDI	EDK	WDL	VVDI	WDR	INDL	INDI	NDK	JDL	301	JDK
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	569	0	0	897	0
Years to Buildout	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Yearly Growth Rate	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Background Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	27	0
Vested Traffic												
2025 No Build Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	586	0	0	924	0
Inbound Project Traffic %									50%			
Outbound Project Traffic %						30%					50%	
2025 Project Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	15	0	32	0
2025 Pass-By Traffic						2		-2	2			
2025 Build Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	584	17	0	956	0
PM PEAK HOUR	FBI	FBT	FBR	WBI	WBT	WBR	NBI	NBT	NBR	SBI	SBT	SBR
12:00 AM -			LBIX						MBIN	001	05.	OBIN
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,002	0	0	774	0
Years to Buildout	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Yearly Growth Rate	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Background Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	23	0
Vested Traffic												
2025 No Build Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,032	0	0	797	0
Inbound Project Traffic %									50%			
Outbound Project Traffic %						30%					50%	
2025 Project Traffic	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	36	0	28	0
2025 Pass-By Traffic						6		-6	6			
2025 Build Traffic Volumes	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	1,026	42	0	825	0

	4	- Wiste	ria Roa	d & Pro	oject Dr	iveway	#2					
					ΤΟΤΑ	L PROJ	ECT TR	AFFIC				
Traffic Control:	TWSC				IN	OUT		IN	OUT			
Date Counted:	1/0/190	0		AM	31	63	PM	73	55			
AM PEAK HOUR	EDI	EDT	500		WDT		NDI	NDT		0.01	ODT	000
12:00 AM -	CDL	EDI	EDR	WBL	VVDI	WDR	NBL	NBI	NDR	SBL	301	SBK
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes	0	26	0	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Years to Buildout	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Yearly Growth Rate	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Background Traffic	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vested Traffic												
2025 No Build Traffic Volumes	0	27	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inbound Project Traffic %			50%									
Outbound Project Traffic %							70%					
2025 Project Traffic	0	0	16	0	0	0	44	0	0	0	0	0
2025 Pass-By Traffic			2				1					
2025 Build Traffic Volumes	0	27	18	0	50	0	45	0	0	0	0	0
PM PEAK HOUR	FBI	FBT	FBR	WBI	WRT	WBR	NBI	NRT	NBR	SBI	SBT	SBR
12:00 AM -		LDI	LDI	WBL		WBR	NDL	NDT	NBR	ODL	ODI	ODIC
2022 Existing Traffic Volumes	0	105	0	0	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Years to Buildout	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Yearly Growth Rate	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Background Traffic	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vested Traffic												
2025 No Build Traffic Volumes	0	108	0	0	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inbound Project Traffic %			50%									
Outbound Project Traffic %							70%					
2025 Project Traffic	0	0	37	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0
2025 Pass-By Traffic			6				6					
2025 Build Traffic Volumes	0	108	43	0	97	0	44	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix D ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS: 2022 EXISTING CONDITIONS

### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۰¥		- ሽ	- 11	_ <b>≜</b> î≽		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	0	0	569	897	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	2	0	0	569	897	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	180	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	85	85	85	85	85	85	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	2	0	0	669	1055	0	

Major/Minor	Minor2	1	Major1	Maj	or2				
Conflicting Flow All	1390	528	1055	0	-	0			
Stage 1	1055	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	335	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	4.14	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-			
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	2.22	-	-	-			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	133	495	656	-	-	-			
Stage 1	296	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	697	-	-	-	-	-			
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	133	495	656	-	-	-			
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	237	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 1	296	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	697	-	-	-	-	-			
Approach	EB		NB		SB				
HCM Control Delay, s	20.3		0		0				
HCM LOS	С								

Minor Lane/Maior Mymt	NBL	NBT EF	3Ln1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	656	-	237	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.01	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	20.3	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	-	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0	-	-

	1	•	1	1	1	+
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥.		<b>≜t</b> ≽		5	44
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	21	28	562	10	16	878
Future Volume (veh/h)	21	28	562	10	16	878
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adi(A pbT)	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Parking Bus, Adi	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach	No		No			No
Adi Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1841	1841	1870	1870	1870	1870
Adi Flow Rate, veh/h	24	33	653	12	19	1021
Peak Hour Factor	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86
Percent Heavy Veh %	4	4	2	2	2	2
Cap veh/h	32	44	1723	32	497	2317
Arrive On Green	0.05	0.05	0 48	0 48	0.02	0.65
Sat Flow, veh/h	678	933	3663	66	1781	3647
Grn Volumo(y) yoh/h	50	000	305	240	10	1001
Grp Volume(v), Ven/m	00 1620	0	323	1050	1704	1021
Grp Sat Flow(s), ven/n/in	1639	0	1///	1859	1/81	
Q Serve(g_s), s	1.4	0.0	4.6	4.6	0.2	5.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	1.4	0.0	4.6	4.6	0.2	5.6
Prop In Lane	0.41	0.57		0.04	1.00	
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	78	0	858	897	497	2317
V/C Ratio(X)	0.74	0.00	0.38	0.38	0.04	0.44
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	657	0	858	897	865	2848
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.8	0.0	6.5	6.5	4.6	3.4
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	13.1	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.7	0.0	0.9	1.0	0.0	0.2
Unsig, Movement Delay, s/veh	1					
LnGrp Delav(d).s/veh	31.8	0.0	6.8	6.8	4.6	3.5
LnGrp LOS	С	A	A	A	A	A
Approach Vol. veh/h	58	73	665		73	1040
Approach Delay, s/yeb	31.8		6.8			3 5
Approach LOS	51.0 C		0.0			5.5
Approach LOS	U		A			A
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4	5	6
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		32.0		7.9	6.8	25.3
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		32.0		16.0	9.0	17.0
Max Q Clear Time (q c+11), s		7.6		3.4	2.2	6.6
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		18.4		0.1	0.0	6.6
				2	0.0	0.0
Intersection Summary						
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			5.7			
HCM 6th LOS			Α			

Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Synchro 10 Report

### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		5	<b>^</b>	<b>∱</b> î≽		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	1002	773	3	
Future Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	1002	773	3	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	180	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	2	1	1	1055	814	3	

Major/Minor	Minor2	Ν	/lajor1	Ма	ijor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1346	409	817	0	-	0
Stage 1	816	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	530	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	2.22	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	143	592	807	-	-	-
Stage 1	395	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	555	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	143	592	807	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	273	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	395	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	555	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay	15.9		0		0	

C HCM LOS

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBL	n1 SE	BT.	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	807	- 3	33	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001	- 0.0	09	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	9.5	- 1	5.9	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	-	С	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0	-	-	
	1	•	<b>†</b>	1	1	Ŧ
---	------	------	-------------	------------	------	----------
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	W.		<b>4</b> 16		5	**
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	38	56	952	27	78	731
Future Volume (veh/h)	38	56	952	27	78	731
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adi(A pbT)	1.00	1.00	-	1.00	1.00	-
Parking Bus, Adi	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach	No	1.00	No	1.00		No
Adi Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870
Adi Flow Rate, veh/h	39	57	971	28	80	746
Peak Hour Factor	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0 98
Percent Heavy Veh %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30
Con yoh/h	40	70	1115	10	202	2
	49	0.07	0.44	42	0.00	2200
Anive On Green	0.07	0.07	0.41	0.41	0.00	0.62
Sat Flow, ven/h	669	9/6	3621	102	1/81	3047
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	97	0	489	510	80	746
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1661	0	1777	1852	1781	1777
Q Serve(g_s), s	2.3	0.0	8.8	8.8	0.9	4.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	2.3	0.0	8.8	8.8	0.9	4.0
Prop In Lane	0.40	0.59		0.05	1.00	
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	123	0	728	759	393	2208
V/C Ratio(X)	0.79	0.00	0.67	0.67	0.20	0.34
Avail Cap(c a), veh/h	675	0	767	800	695	2889
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1 00	0.00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
Uniform Delay (d) s/veh	17.9	0.0	9.5	9.5	6.5	3.6
Incr Delay (d2) s/yeh	10.8	0.0	2.2	2.1	0.3	0.0
Initial $\cap$ Delay(d3) s/yeb	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
% ile Back $\Omega$ f $\Omega$ (50%) veh/lp	1.1	0.0	2.4	2.5	0.0	0.0
Juneia Meyoment Delay, alvel	1.1	0.0	2.4	2.0	0.2	0.5
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/ver		0.0	11.0	11 E	67	27
LnGrp Delay(d),s/ven	20.7	0.0	11.0	11.5	0.7	3.7
LnGrp LOS	0	A	<u> </u>	В	A	<u>A</u>
Approach Vol, veh/h	97		999			826
Approach Delay, s/veh	28.7		11.6			4.0
Approach LOS	С		В			А
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4	5	6
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		30.5		8.9	8.3	22.1
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0
Max Green Setting (Gmax) s		32.0		16.0	9.0	17.0
Max O Clear Time $(q, c+11)$ s		6.0		43	2.9	10.8
Green Ext Time $(n, c)$ s		15.0		4.0 0.2	0.1	53
Green $Lxt$ rime ( $p_0$ ), s		15.0		0.2	0.1	5.5
Intersection Summary						
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			9.2			
HCM 6th LOS			А			

Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Appendix E ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS: 2025 NO BUILD CONDITIONS

Int Delay, s/veh	0							
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	Y		<u>ار ا</u>	- 11	<b>∱î</b> ≽			
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	0	0	586	924	0		
Future Vol, veh/h	2	0	0	586	924	0		
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free		
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None		
Storage Length	0	-	180	-	-	-		
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	-	0	0	-		
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-		
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90		
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Mvmt Flow	2	0	0	651	1027	0		

Major/Minor	Minor2	ľ	Major1	Maj	or2		
Conflicting Flow All	1353	514	1027	0	-	0	
Stage 1	1027	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	326	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	4.14	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	2.22	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	141	505	672	-	-	-	
Stage 1	306	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	704	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	141	505	672	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	245	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	306	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	704	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		

Approach	EB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	19.8	0	0	
HCM LOS	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EB	BLn1	SBT	SBR												
Capacity (veh/h)	672	-	245	-	-												
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.	.009	-	-												
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	- '	19.8	-	-												
HCM Lane LOS	А	-	С	-	-												
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0	-	-												

	-	•	T.	1	×	Ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥.		<b>41</b>		5	**
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	22	29	579	10	16	904
Future Volume (veh/h)	22	29	579	10	16	904
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adi(A pbT)	1.00	1.00	-	1.00	1.00	-
Parking Bus. Adi	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach	No		No			No
Adi Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1841	1841	1870	1870	1870	1870
Adi Flow Rate, veh/h	24	32	643	11	18	1004
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Percent Heavy Veh %	4	4	2	2	2	2
Can veh/h	32	43	1718	29	499	2310
Arrive On Green	0.05	0.05	0 48	0 48	0.02	0.65
Sat Flow, yeh/h	691	921	3669	61	1781	3647
	E7	021	210	225	101	1004
Gip Volume(v), ven/m	٦ <i>٢</i>	0	319	335	1704	1004
GIP Sat Flow(s), ven/n/in	1640	0		1859	1/81	
Q Serve(g_s), s	1.4	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.2	5.5
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	1.4	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.2	5.5
Prop In Lane	0.42	0.56	0=1	0.03	1.00	00.40
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	77	0	854	893	499	2310
V/C Ratio(X)	0.74	0.00	0.37	0.37	0.04	0.43
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	662	0	854	893	872	2870
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.6	0.0	6.5	6.5	4.6	3.4
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	12.8	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.7	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.2
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/vel	h					
LnGrp Delav(d).s/veh	31.5	0.0	6.8	6.8	4.6	3.5
LnGrp LOS	C	A	A	A	A	A
Approach Vol. veh/h	57		654		73	1022
Approach Delay, s/yeb	31.5		6.8			3.5
Approach LOS	01.0		0.0			5.5
Approach 205	U		A			A
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4	5	6
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		31.8		7.9	6.7	25.0
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		32.0		16.0	9.0	17.0
Max Q Clear Time (g c+l1), s		7.5		3.4	2.2	6.5
Green Ext Time (p c), s		18.3		0.1	0.0	6.6
Intersection Summary						
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			5.7			
HCM 6th LOS			А			

Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		1	<b>^</b>	<b>∱î</b> ≽		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	1032	796	3	
Future Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	1032	796	3	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	180	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
M∨mt Flow	2	1	1	1086	838	3	

Major/Minor	Minor2	Ν	/lajor1	Maj	jor2		
Conflicting Flow All	1385	421	841	0	-	0	
Stage 1	840	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	545	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	4.14	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	2.22	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	134	581	790	-	-	-	
Stage 1	384	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	545	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	134	581	790	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	264	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	384	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	545	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	16.3		0		0		

С HCM LOS

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT E	BLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	790	-	323	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001	-	0.01	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	9.6	-	16.3	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	-	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0	-	-

	€	•	<b>†</b>	1	1	Ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	W.		<b>4</b> 16		5	44
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	39	58	981	28	80	753
Future Volume (veh/h)	39	58	981	28	80	753
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adi(A pbT)	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach	No		No			No
Adi Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870
Adi Flow Rate, veh/h	41	61	1033	29	84	793
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Percent Heavy Veh. %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap veh/h	52	78	1448	41	376	2207
Arrive On Green	0.08	0.08	0.41	0.41	0.06	0.62
Sat Flow veh/b	661	983	3624	99	1781	3647
Crn Volumo(v) voh/h	102	000	520	540	0/	702
Grp Volume(v), Ven/n	103	U	52U	04Z	04	1777
Grp Sat Flow(s), ven/n/in	1000	0	1///	1853	1/81	
u Serve(g_s), s	2.4	0.0	9.8	9.8	0.9	4.4
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	2.4	0.0	9.8	9.8	0.9	4.4
Prop In Lane	0.40	0.59		0.05	1.00	
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	131	0	729	760	376	2207
V/C Ratio(X)	0.79	0.00	0.71	0.71	0.22	0.36
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	664	0	755	788	669	2844
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.1	0.0	9.8	9.8	6.9	3.7
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	9.9	0.0	3.1	2.9	0.3	0.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	1.2	0.0	2.8	2.9	0.2	0.4
Unsig, Movement Delay, s/veh						
LnGrp Delav(d).s/veh	27.9	0.0	12.9	12.8	7.2	3.8
LnGrp LOS	C	A	B	B	A	A
Approach Vol. veb/h	103		1062		71	877
Approach Delay, s/yeb	27.0		12.8			1 1
Approach LOS	21.9		12.0 D			4.1
Approach LOS	U		D			A
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4	5	6
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		30.8		9.2	8.4	22.4
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		32.0		16.0	9.0	17.0
Max Q Clear Time (q c+l1), s		6.4		4.4	2.9	11.8
Green Ext Time (p c), s		15.6		0.2	0.1	4.6
			0.0			
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			9.9			
HCM 6th LOS			A			

Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Appendix F ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS: 2025 BUILD CONDITIONS

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		5	<b>^</b>	<b>∱</b> î≽		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	0	0	605	956	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	2	0	0	605	956	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	180	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	2	0	0	672	1062	0	

Major/Minor	Minor2	M	Major1	Ma	jor2	
Conflicting Flow All	1398	531	1062	0	-	0
Stage 1	1062	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	336	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	2.22	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	132	493	652	-	-	-
Stage 1	294	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	696	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	132	493	652	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	235	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	294	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	696	-	-	-	-	-
Annraach	ED		ND		СD	

Approach	EB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	20.5	0	0	
HCM LOS	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	652	- 23	j -	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.00	) –	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	- 20.	; -	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	- (	; -	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	) –	-

	4	*	Ť	1	1	Ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥		<b>4</b> 16		5	**
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	55	42	598	10	34	902
Future Volume (veh/h)	55	42	598	10	34	902
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach	No		No			No
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1841	1841	1870	1870	1870	1870
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	61	47	664	11	38	1002
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Percent Heavy Veh, %	4	4	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	78	60	1601	27	480	2227
Arrive On Green	0.08	0.08	0.45	0.45	0.03	0.63
Sat Flow, veh/h	932	718	3671	59	1781	3647
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	109	0	330	345	38	1002
Grp Sat Flow(s) veh/h/ln	1665	0	1777	1860	1781	1777
Q Serve(a s), s	2.7	0.0	5.2	5.2	0.4	6.1
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	2.7	0.0	5.2	5.2	0.4	6.1
Prop In Lane	0.56	0.43		0.03	1.00	•
Lane Gro Cap(c), veh/h	140	0	795	833	480	2227
V/C Ratio(X)	0.78	0.00	0.41	0.41	0.08	0.45
Avail Cap(c a), veh/h	643	0	795	833	806	2743
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.6	0.0	7.8	7.8	5.3	4.0
Incr Delay (d2). s/veh	9.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1
Initial Q Delay(d3).s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%).veh/ln	1.2	0.0	1.2	1.3	0.1	0.5
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh						
LnGrp Delay(d).s/veh	27.6	0.0	8.1	8.1	5.4	4.2
LnGrp LOS	С	A	А	А	А	А
Approach Vol. veh/h	109		675			1040
Approach Delay, s/veh	27.6		8.1			4.2
Approach LOS	C		A			A
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4	5	6
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc) s		32.0		95	74	24.6
Change Period (Y+Rc) s		6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0
Max Green Setting (Gmax) s		32.0		16.0	9.0	17.0
Max O Clear Time (q. $c+11$ ) s		8.1		4 7	2.4	7.2
Green Ext Time (n_c) s		17.9		0.2	0.0	6.3
		11.0		0.2	0.0	0.0
Intersection Summary						
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			7.1			
HCM 6th LOS			A			

Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Int Delay, s/veh

Int Delay, s/veh	0.1						
Movement V	VBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		1				- <b>†</b> †	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	21	584	17	0	956	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	21	584	17	0	956	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control S	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	0	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	23	649	19	0	1062	

Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	lajor1	Ma	ijor2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	334	0	0	-	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	-	6.94	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	-	3.32	-	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	662	-	-	0	-	
Stage 1	0	-	-	-	0	-	
Stage 2	0	-	-	-	0	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	· -	662	-	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	WB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.6		0		0		

HCM LOS В

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 662	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.035	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 10.6	-
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.1	-

Int Delay, s/veh	3						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	- 1÷			- सी	۰¥		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	27	18	0	50	45	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	27	18	0	50	45	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	30	20	0	56	50	0	

Major/Minor	Major	·1	Ν	/lajor2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	50	0	96	40
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	40	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	56	-
Critical Hdwv		-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwv		-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	1557	-	903	1031
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	982	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	967	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	,	-	-	1557	-	903	1031
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	-	903	-
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	982	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	967	-
Ŭ							
Amman	F	П					
Approach	E	B		VVB		INB	
HCM Control Delay, s	i	0		0		9.2	
HCM LOS						A	
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NB	Ln1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)			903	-	-	1557	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.	055	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s	;)		9.2	-	-	0	-
HCM Lane LOS	/		A	-	-	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(ver	ר)		0.2	-	-	0	-

Int Delay, s/veh	0						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۰¥		٦	<b>^</b>	<b>≜</b> î≽		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	1049	824	3	
Future Vol, veh/h	2	1	1	1049	824	3	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	180	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
M∨mt Flow	2	1	1	1104	867	3	

Major/Minor	Minor2	Ν	/lajor1	Мај	or2					
Conflicting Flow All	1423	435	870	0	-	0				
Stage 1	869	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	554	-	-	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	4.14	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-				
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	2.22	-	-	-				
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	127	569	770	-	-	-				
Stage 1	371	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	539	-	-	-	-	-				
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	127	569	770	-	-	-				
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	256	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 1	371	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	539	-	-	-	-	-				
Approach	EB		NB		SB					
HCM Control Delay, s	16.6		0		0					
HCM LOS	С									

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EE	3Ln1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	770	-	313	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.001	-	0.01	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	9.7	-	16.6	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	-	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0	-	-

	4	*	Ť	1	1	Ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥		<b>4</b> 14		5	44
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	73	68	998	28	123	747
Future Volume (veh/h)	73	68	998	28	123	747
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach	No		No			No
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	77	72	1051	29	129	786
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	101	94	1373	38	367	2141
Arrive On Green	0.12	0.12	0.39	0.39	0.07	0.60
Sat Flow, veh/h	863	807	3626	97	1781	3647
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	150	0	529	551	129	786
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1682	0	1777	1853	1781	1777
Q Serve(g_s), s	3.7	0.0	11.1	11.1	1.6	4.8
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	3.7	0.0	11.1	11.1	1.6	4.8
Prop In Lane	0.51	0.48		0.05	1.00	
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	196	0	691	720	367	2141
V/C Ratio(X)	0.76	0.00	0.77	0.77	0.35	0.37
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	630	0	707	737	611	2661
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	18.3	0.0	11.4	11.4	8.2	4.3
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	6.1	0.0	4.9	4.7	0.6	0.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	1.6	0.0	3.7	3.8	0.4	0.6
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/ve	h					
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	24.4	0.0	16.3	16.1	8.8	4.4
LnGrp LOS	С	А	В	В	А	А
Approach Vol, veh/h	150		1080			915
Approach Delay, s/veh	24.4		16.2			5.1
Approach LOS	С		В			А
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4	5	6
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		31.7		11.0	9.1	22.6
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		32.0		16.0	9.0	17.0
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	3	6.8		5.7	3.6	13.1
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		15.3		0.3	0.1	3.5
Intersection Summary						
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			12.0			
HCM 6th LOS			В			
			-			

Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Int Delay, s/veh	0.2						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		1	<b>∱</b> î≽			<b>^</b>	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	23	1026	42	0	825	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	23	1026	42	0	825	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	0	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	26	1140	47	0	917	

Major/Minor	Minor1	Μ	lajor1	Ма	ajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	-	594	0	0	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.94	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	3.32	-	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	448	-	-	0	-
Stage 1	0	-	-	-	0	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	-	0	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	· –	448	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	13.5	0	0
HCM LOS	В		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 448	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.057	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 13.5	-
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.2	-

# Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Int Delay, s/veh	1.5						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	4			्र	- ¥		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	108	43	0	97	44	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	108	43	0	97	44	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	120	48	0	108	49	0	

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2	I	Minor1					
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	168	0	252	144				
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	144	-				
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	108	-				
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22				
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-				
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318				
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1410	-	737	903				
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	883	-				
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	916	-				
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	r –	-	1410	-	737	903				
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r -	-	-	-	737	-				
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	883	-				
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	916	-				
Approach	EB		WB		NB					
HCM Control Delay, s	s 0		0		10.2					
HCM LOS					В					
Minor Lane/Major Mvi	mt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT		_		
Capacity (veh/h)		737	-	-	1410	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.066	-	-	-	-				
HCM Control Delay (s	5)	10.2	-	-	0	-				

А

0

-

-

-

-

HCM Lane LOS

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

В

0.2

-

-

# Appendix G TURN LANE ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS



		S	tudy Area Information								
			-								
County:	Berkeley Coun	ity	Date:	1/31/2022							
SCDOT Engineering District:	District 6		Analyst:	Claudia Thompson							
Analysis Year:	2025		Agency:	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.							
Intersection	Red Bank Roa	Red Bank Road & Project Driveway #1									
Left Turn Movement:											
Right Turn Movement:	Northbound Right-Turn Lane										
ragin rain movement.											
Posted Speed Limit:	45	mph	Median:	Undivided							
# of Approach Lanes:	2		Urban or Rural?	Urban							
		Volume	Information & Calculations								
		Left Tu	rn Lane Volume Calculations								
Movemer	nt 🔤	Volume (vph)									
		AM PM		AM PM							
<b>A</b> . <b>I</b>	Left			Advancing Volume: 956 825							
Advancing		825		Upposing volume: 601 1,068							
	Right										
Opposing	Through	584 1.026									
Opposing	Right	17 42	% Left Turns i	n Advancing Volume: 0.0% 0.0%							
	rught										
		Right T	urn Lane Volume Calculations								
		Volume (vph)	Adjustment t	o Right Turn Volume <sup>1</sup> Include? No							
Movemer	nt 📃										
	Left	0 0		AM PM							
Advancing	Through 4	584 1,026		Advancing Volume: 601 1,068							
	Right	17 42		Right Turn Volume: 17 42							
		T	urn Lane Warrant Met?								
L	eft Turn Lane	Warrant	Ri	ght Turn Lane Warrant							
				-							
Applicable Wa	rrant Chart:	Fig 9.5-F	Applicable Warrant Chart: N/A								
Warrar	nt Satisfied:	#N/A	Warrant Satisfied: N/A								
		Recon	imneded Turn Lane Length								
Advanci	ng Approach Tr	uck%: 2%	Advanci	ng Approach Truck%: 2%							
	Left Turn L	ane		Right Turn Lane							
	Storage Leng	th (ft): #N/A	ft	Storage Length: N/A ft							
	Taper Leng	th (ft): #N/A	ft	Taper Length: N/A ft							
То	tal Left Turn Lar	ne (ft): #N/A	ft	Total Left Turn Lane: <b>N/A</b> ft							
Consider providing dual-tur	n lanes if the turn	ing volumes are g	reater than 300 vehicles per hour. A t	raffic analysis will be required if the turning volumes							
The troffic decigner should	roview the desi	to detorming if la	nger turn lane longthe are required								
Dight turn land middling a			nger turn iane lengtris are required.	har two long highways or fair long highways with -							
Right-turn lane guidelines a design speed of 50 miles pe	re only applicable er hour or greater	e tor right turn lane :	es at unsignalised intersections on eiti	ner two lane nighways or tour lane highways with a							
Source: SCDOT Roadway	Design Manual (2	2021), SCDOT Acc	ess and Roadside Management Star	dards (2008), and TRB Highway Research Record							
211, volume Warrants for L	ent Turn Storage	Lanes at Unsigna	lizea Grade Intersections.								

Stantec

		Study Area Information								
County	Berkeley County	Date	1/31/2022							
County.	District 6	Analyst:	Claudia Thompson							
	2025	Analyst.	Stantec Consulting Services Inc							
Analysis Year:	2025	Agency:	Stantec Consulting	Services Inc.						
Intersection:	Wisteria Road & Project Drive	way #1								
Left Turn Movement:	Westbound Left-Turn Lane									
Right Turn Movement:	Eastbound Right-Turn Lane									
Posted Speed Limit:	30 mph	Median:	Undivided							
# of Approach Lanes:	1	Urban or Rural?	Urban							
·····										
	Volum	e Information & Calculations								
_	L off T	um Long Volume Calculations								
	Lett I	urn Lane volume Calculations								
Movemer	Volume (vph)	1								
	AM PM	_		AM PM						
	Left 0 0		Advancing Volume:	50 97						
Advancing	Through 50 97		Opposing Volume:	45 151						
	Right		Left Turn Volume:	0 0						
	Left									
Opposing	Through 27 108									
	Right 18 43	% Left Turns i	n Advancing Volume:	0.0% 0.0%						
_	Picht 1	Furn Lana Valuma Calculationa								
	Right									
	Volume (vph)	Adjustment t	o Right Turn Volume <sup>1</sup>	Include? No						
woverner	AM PM			<u></u>						
	Left 0 0	1		AM PM						
Advancing	Through 27 108	1	Advancing Volume:	45 151						
	Right 18 43		Right Turn Volume:	18 43						
	Т	urn Lane Warrant Met?								
L	eft Turn Lane Warrant	Ri	ght Turn Lane Warra	ant						
			-							
Applicable Wa	rrant Chart: N/A	Appl	icable Warrant Chart:	Fig 9.5-A						
Warrar	nt Satisfied: N/A		Warrant Satisfied:	No						
	Recor	mmneded Turn Lane Length								
Advanci	ng Approach Truck%: 2%	Advanci	ng Approach Truck%:	2%						
	Left Turn Lane		Right Turn Lane							
	Storage Length (ft): N/		Storage Length:	N/A TT						
То	tal l eff Turn Lane (ft): N//		Total Left Turn Lane:	N/A It						
10		<u>-</u>	Total Left Turn Lane.							
Consider providing dual-turn are greater than 300 vehicle The traffic designer should 1 For highways with a desig vertical axis of the chart, ac	n lanes if the turning volumes are g es per hour. review the design to determine if lo gn speed below 50 miles per hour tual number of right turns was redu	greater than 300 vehicles per hour. A t onger turn lane lengths are required. with a DHV < 300 and where right turn uced by 20.	raffic analysis will be rea s > 40, an adjustment s	quired if the turning volumes						
Source: SCDOT Roadway	Design Manual (2021), SCDOT Ac	cess and Roadside Management Star	dards (2008), and TRB	Highway Research Record						
211, Volume Warrants for L	eft Turn Storage Lanes at Unsigna	alized Grade Intersections.								



# 

	Request for Planning Commission Agenda Item										
	<b>To:</b> Planning	Commissio	n								
	From: Kendra Wise, Planning and Zoning Director										
Please check one Regular M Work Ses	e box Meeting ssion		Special Meeting								
Please check one	e Dox, if applicab	<i>le</i> olution	Proclamation	☐ Request to Purchase							
Ordinance/Resolution Title	Ordinance/Resolution Title										
<b>ZONING TEXT AMENDMEN</b> (CHAPTER 151) OF THE CITY ZONING ORDINANCE OF G ANALYSIS UNDER CERTAIN	<b>ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT</b> - REQUEST TO AMEND THE TEXT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE (CHAPTER 151) OF THE CITY OF GOOSE CREEK ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE OF GOOSE CREEK, SOUTH CAROLINA TO REQUIRE A TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS"										
Background Summary											
If enacted, the text amendments contained in the Ordinance will apply generally to the development of property within the City and will expressly apply to the development of property that is subject to that certain Carnes Crossroads Development Agreement, originally dated May 9, 2006, as subsequently amended, restated, and/or assigned.											
Impact if denied											
Impact if approved											
Department Head:	ent Head: City Administrator:										
Signatu	re & Date		Sig	nature & Date							

# (A) Traffic Impact Analysis Required.

(1) A Traffic Impact Analysis (a "TIA") shall be required for all "developments," which shall include the following:

(a) All subdivisions (25 or more lots and/or any subdivision of property requiring new streets or roads, or the extension of new water and/or sewer infrastructure). The numerical study thresholds apply to a new subdivision, an expansion of an existing subdivision, and the cumulative construction of a subdivision in multiple phases.

(b) More than 25,000 square feet of building coverage in existing and/or new buildings.

(c) Any active development wherein substantial changes have occurred in pertinent conditions existing at the time of approval of the development which would, if not addressed, would pose a threat to the public health, safety, or welfare.

(2) The City Engineer may waive the preparation of a TIA or require a traffic statement as opposed to a full TIA if the proposed development is a component of a larger development for which a TIA has recently been provided and the Planning Director is reasonably certain that the results of a subsequent TIA would duplicate prior findings. The City Engineer may require additional components of a TIA if necessitated by special circumstances. Other traffic analysis may be required for any project, if determined by the City Engineer that the project impacts vehicular, bicycle, pedestrian, transit, or other mode of transportation in any way.

# (B) Consultant; Standards.

(1) A TIA must be prepared by an on-call consultant (the "TIA Consultant") hired by the City of Goose Creek (the "City") at the expense of the applicant. The TIA Consultant shall be an engineer registered in South Carolina that is experienced in the conduct of traffic analyses.

(2) The standards in the South Carolina Department of Transportation's Access and Roadside Management Standards Manual shall serve as a guide for the TIA. The City will rely upon the most current edition ITE trip generation manual or any alternative acceptable to the City, and available information on land use, travel patterns and traffic conditions.

# (C) Traffic Impact Analysis Plan Preparation.

(1) Prior to beginning the TIA, the applicant shall supply the City with the following:

(a) A written narrative describing the proposed land use(s), size and projected opening date of the development, including the current phase and all subsequent phases for phased developments;

(b) A site location map showing surrounding development within a one-half mile of the property under development consideration; and

(c) A proposed site plan or preliminary subdivision plat illustrating access to public or private roads and connectivity to other contiguous developments.

(2) After consulting with the SCDOT and Berkeley County, if applicable, the City Engineer will supply to the TIA Consultant with the parameters to be followed in the TIA, including the directional split of driveway traffic, trip distribution, background traffic growth rate, previously approved but not completed projects, and the intersections to be analyzed along with any associated turning movement counts which are available or discussed and approved by the City. The final scope of services and an estimate of the cost of the TIA (the "Estimate") shall be submitted to the City for approval.

(3) The applicant shall pay an amount equal to the Estimate to the City Engineer, who will deposit the amount in an escrow or special account set up for this purpose. Any funds not used shall be returned to the applicant in a timely manner without interest. The applicant may be required to pay additional costs associated with the TIA if: (i) the applicant substantially amends the application; (ii) additional meetings involving the consultant are requested by the applicant; (iii) the consultant's appearance is requested at Planning Commission or City Council meetings beyond what was anticipated in the scope of services; or (iv) the TIA Consultant's 's attendance is required at meetings with regional, State, or federal agencies or boards which were not anticipated in the Estimate. The applicant must pay all such costs prior to the development plan or plat approval.

(4) All phases of a development are subject to review, and all traffic plans for the entire development shall be integrated with the overall TIA. A TIA for a specific phase of development shall be applicable to the phase of development under immediate review; however, each phase of development shall expand and provide detailed analysis at the development plan stage beyond the estimates provided for at the concept plan or master plan stage. For master-planned and phased developments, the City Engineer may require that a TIA take into account subsequent phases of development that are reasonably knowable. The relative share of the capacity improvements needed shall be broken down as follows: development share, other developments share, any existing over capacity, and capacity available for future growth.

# (D) Plan Contents.

(1) The following elements shall be included in a TIA:

(a) Study Area – Description of the study area including surrounding land uses and expected development in the vicinity that would influence future traffic conditions, including (i) intersections immediately adjacent to the development and other significant intersections identified by the City Engineer. A study area site map showing the site location is required.

(b) Proposed Land Use – Description of the current and proposed land use including characteristics such as the number and type of dwelling units, gross and leasable floor area, and number of employees, accompanied by a complete project site plan (with buildings identified as to proposed use), and a schedule for construction of the development and proposed development stages.

(c) Existing Conditions – Description of existing traffic conditions, adjusted for daily and seasonal variations, including existing AM and PM peak¬ hour traffic volumes adjacent to the site and levels of service for intersections in the study area, and other peak periods as may be determined by the City Engineer. The City Engineer may require that pedestrian counts be taken into consideration. Existing counts may be used if taken within 12 months. In most cases, counts should be taken when school is in session unless otherwise determined by the City Engineer. Other

information that may be required may include, but not limited to, crash data, stopping sight distances, and 50th and 85th percentile speeds.

(d) Future Background Growth – Estimate of future background traffic growth based on local or statewide growth factors, and considering State, local, or private transportation improvement projects in the study area that will be underway in the build-out year and traffic that is generated by other proposed developments in the study area. If the planned completion date for the project or the last phase of the project is beyond one year of the study an estimate of background traffic growth for the adjacent street network shall be made and included in the analysis.

(e) Estimate of Trip Generation – The site forecasted trips should be based on the most recent edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual. A table should be provided in the report outlining the categories and quantities of land uses, with the corresponding trip generation rates or equations, and the resulting number of trips. The reason for using the rate or equation should be documented. For large developments that will have multiple phases, the table should be divided based on the trip generation for each phase. Any reductions for any reason should be justified and documented. All trip generation and trip reduction calculations and supporting documentation shall be included in the TIA appendix.

(f) Trip Distribution and Traffic Assignment – The distribution (inbound versus outbound, left turn versus right turn) of the estimated trip generation to the adjacent street network and nearby intersections shall be included in the report and the basis should be explained. The distribution percentages with the corresponding volumes should be provided in a graphical format.

(g) Analysis and Estimate of Impact – A capacity analysis should be performed at each of the study area intersections and access intersection locations (signalized and unsignalized), including a level-of-service determination for all approaches and movements. Coordination analysis will be required for the signal systems or portion of the signal systems analyzed.

(h) Access Management Standards – The TIA shall include a map and description of the proposed access including any sight distance limitations, adjacent driveways and intersections, and a demonstration that the number of driveways proposed is the fewest necessary and that they provide safe and efficient traffic operations.

(i) Traffic Signalization – If a traffic signal is being proposed, a signal warrant analysis shall be included in the TIA. The approval of a traffic signal on projected volumes may be deferred until volumes meet warrants given in the MUTCD, in which the developer shall provide funds for the future signal(s) to the City to deposit in an escrow or special account set up for this purpose. The developer should make any laneage improvements during construction so that if in the horizon year a signal is warranted, one may be installed with little impact to the intersection.

(j) Mitigation and Alternatives – The average stop time delay in seconds per vehicle for each intersection determined to be critical to the TIA for the proposed development shall be compared to the City's adopted traffic service level goal of "D" for the average delay for all vehicles at any intersection and all movements and approaches to the intersection during peak hours. Improvements must ensure that the level of service at final buildout, meets or exceeds the level of service at time of approval of the TIA. The TIA should include proposed improvements or access management techniques that will mitigate any significant changes in the levels of service. The City

Engineer will be responsible for final determination of mitigation improvements required to be constructed by the applicant.

(E) Traffic Impact Analysis Plan Review. The City Engineer shall review all TIAs as part of the initial approval for the concept plan or master plan, and shall coordinate with Berkeley County, the South Carolina Department of Transportation, and other parties the City Engineer deems appropriate. Final TIAs shall be approved at the development plan phase. Following review of the required TIA, the City Engineer shall recommend action as follows:

(1) Approval of the TIA as submitted; or

(2) Approval of the TIA with conditions or modifications as part of the development review and approval process. An acceptable TIA with traffic mitigation measures may include the reduction of the density or intensity of the proposed development; phasing of the proposed development to coincide with State and/or City-programmed transportation improvements; applicant-provided transportation improvements; fees in lieu of construction, or any other reasonable measures to ensure that the adopted traffic level of service goals are met. If mitigation is required, it shall be required as a condition of any approval from the City.

(G) Timing, Cost of Implementation. If traffic mitigation improvements are part of an approved TIA, the improvements shall be completed prior to Final Plat approval for major subdivisions, or CO issuance for multi-family and non-residential projects. In the alternative, the City Engineer may approve a letter of credit, performance bond, or other means of securing the applicant's obligation to complete improvements. The City Engineer may use his/her best engineering judgement to determine the most effective solution. The costs of implementation of an approved mitigation program shall be the responsibility of the applicant. No certificates of zoning compliance or building permits shall be issued unless provisions of the TIA are met.

**(H) Function and Safety Improvements.** The City Engineer may require improvements to mitigate and improve the safety and function of multiple transportation modes the site traffic may impact. These improvements may not be identified in the TIA, but improvements to benefit the function and safety of the transportation system of the development site. These improvements may include but are not limited to center medians, sidewalks and/or bicycle accommodations, modifications to ingress and egress points, roadside shoulders, pavement markings, traffic calming and other traffic control devices.